Metastable states, the adiabatic theorem and parity violating geometric phases II

T. Bergmann^a, T. Gasenzer^b, and O. Nachtmann^c

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg, Philosophenweg 16, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

Received 27 April 2007 Published online 5 September 2007 – © EDP Sciences, Società Italiana di Fisica, Springer-Verlag 2007

Abstract. We discuss and calculate parity conserving (PC) and parity violating (PV) geometric phases for the metastable 2S states of hydrogen and deuterium. The atoms are supposed to be subjected to slowly varying electric and magnetic fields which act as external parameters for the atoms. Geometric flux density fields are introduced which allow for an easy overview how to choose the paths in parameter space in order to obtain only PC or only PV geometric phases. The PV phases are calculated in the Standard Model of particle physics. Even if numerically they come out small they have interest of principle as a new manifestation of parity violation in atomic physics.

PACS. 03.65. Vf Phases: geometric; dynamic or topological – 11.30. Er Charge conjugation, parity, time reversal, and other discrete symmetries – 31.70.Hq Time-dependent phenomena: excitation and relaxation processes, and reaction rates – 32.80.Ys Weak-interaction effects in atoms

1 Introduction

In this article we discuss the atomic states of hydrogen and deuterium with principal quantum number $n = 2$ in slowly varying electric and magnetic fields. We are interested in parity (P) violating effects for the metastable 2S states.

In the accompanying paper [1] we have already discussed the motivation for our study. There we have made a detailed investigation of the adiabatic limit for a system containing metastable and short lived states. In the following the sections of [1] shall be quoted as Section I.1 etc. and equation numbers as (I.1) etc.

Our present paper II is organised as follows. In Section 2 we review briefly the parity violating Hamiltonian relevant for our atomic systems. We define our notation for the $n = 2$ states and give the mass matrix for these states in external electric and magnetic fields. In this paper we always consider states at rest. The case of atoms travelling in the atomic beam interferometer [2] will be treated elsewhere. In Section 3 we study the adiabatic limit for the metastable 2S states using the results of Section I.6. We identify the P-conserving (PC) and P-violating (PV) contributions to the geometric phases. In Section 4 we calculate these phases for the case that the geometric phase factor matrix is diagonal. In this case we have only Abelian

geometric phases. We give a graphical representation of these geometric phases in terms of surface integrals over geometric flux densities in the space of electric and magnetic fields. This allows us to see in an easy way how the paths in parameter space have to be chosen in order to get either pure PC or pure PV phases. Section 5 contains our conclusions and an outlook. In Appendix A we collect tables giving the relevant numerical quantities of our systems. Appendix B contains a discussion of the adiabaticity condition for our concrete cases and Appendix C gives the detailed calculations for the geometric flux densities.

We use units $\hbar = c = 1$ if other units are not explicitly indicated.

2 Hamiltonian and state vectors

2.1 The P-violating Hamiltonian

In the framework of the Standard Model (SM) [3–5] the effective P-violating Hamiltonian relevant for atomic physics is due to the exchange of a Z boson between the atomic electrons and the quarks in the nucleus. In terms of the electron and quark field operators we have

$$
H_{\rm PV} = H_{\rm PV}^{(1)} + H_{\rm PV}^{(2)},\tag{1}
$$

$$
H_{\rm PV}^{(1)} = -\frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} \int d^3x \ 2g_A^e \bar{e}(\mathbf{x}) \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 e(\mathbf{x})
$$

$$
\times \left(\sum_q g_V^q \bar{q}(\mathbf{x}) \gamma_\mu q(\mathbf{x}) \right), \tag{2}
$$

Tables 5–10 of Appendix A are only available at http://www.epj.org

^a e-mail: T.Bergmann@ThPhys.Uni-Heidelberg.de

^b e-mail: T.Gasenzer@ThPhys.Uni-Heidelberg.de

^c e-mail: O.Nachtmann@ThPhys.Uni-Heidelberg.de

$$
H_{\text{PV}}^{(2)} = -\frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} \int d^3x \ 2g_V^e \bar{e}(\boldsymbol{x}) \gamma^\mu e(\boldsymbol{x})
$$

$$
\times \left(\sum_q g_A^q \bar{q}(\boldsymbol{x}) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 q(\boldsymbol{x}) \right), \tag{3}
$$

where $q = u, d, s$, neglecting possible contributions from the heavy quarks c, b, t. The $g_{A,V}^q$ and $g_{A,V}^q$ are the neutral current coupling constants for the electron and the quarks, respectively, and G is Fermi's constant. For the notation and the definitions see [6,7]. In the framework of the SM the coupling constants of the weak neutral current are

$$
g_V^e = -\frac{1}{2} + 2\sin^2\vartheta_W, \qquad g_A^e = -\frac{1}{2}, \qquad (4)
$$

$$
g_V^u = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{3}\sin^2\vartheta_W, \qquad g_A^u = \frac{1}{2}, \qquad (5)
$$

$$
g_V^{d,s} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3}\sin^2\vartheta_W, \qquad g_A^{d,s} = -\frac{1}{2} \qquad (6)
$$

where ϑ_W is the weak mixing angle.

For our study of atomic parity violation (APV) in light atoms, it is sufficient to consider a point-like, infinitely heavy, nucleus along with a nonrelativistic approximation of H_{PV} (for details see [7]). In the nonrelativistic reduction, the effective P-violating Hamiltonians (2), (3) for an atom with proton number Z and neutron number N read

$$
H_{\rm PV}^{(1)} = \frac{G}{4\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{m_e} Q_W^{(1)}(Z, N) \{ \delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}) (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}) + (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}) \delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}) \},\tag{7}
$$

$$
H_{\text{PV}}^{(2)} = \frac{G}{4\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{m_e} Q_W^{(2)}(Z, N) \{\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}) (\boldsymbol{I} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}) + (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}) (\boldsymbol{I} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \delta^3(\boldsymbol{x})\}.
$$
 (8)

Here σ and p are the Pauli spin matrix vector and the momentum operator for the electron, respectively, and *I* is the nuclear spin operator. The $Q_W^{(1,2)}(Z,N)$ are the weak charges of the atomic nucleus, given by

$$
Q_W^{(1)}(Z,N) = -4g_A^e \left\{ g_V^u(2Z+N) + g_V^d(Z+2N) \right\}, \tag{9}
$$

$$
Q_W^{(2)}(Z,N) = 4g_V^e \sum_q g_A^q \frac{1}{I} \Delta q(Z,N). \tag{10}
$$

The weak charge $Q_W^{(2)}(Z,N)$ exists, of course, only for nuclei with spin $I \neq 0$. The quantities $\Delta q(Z, N)$ are the total polarisations of the nucleus carried by the quark species q. In the SM we have with (4) to (6)

$$
Q_W^{(1)}(Z,N) = (1 - 4\sin^2\vartheta_W)Z - N,\tag{11}
$$

$$
Q_W^{(2)}(Z,N) = -\frac{1}{I}(1 - 4\sin^2\vartheta_W)
$$
\n(12)

$$
\times [\Delta u(Z, N) - \Delta d(Z, N) - \Delta s(Z, N)].
$$
\n(13)

It was pointed out recently in [8] that the measurement of $Q_W^{(1)}(Z,N)$ is still the main motivation for APV studies,

since it allows for a determination of $\sin^2 \theta_W$, which is complementary to high-energy physics. Such low-energy determinations of $\sin^2 \theta_W$ have, of course, been done with neutrino and electron scattering experiments. For a review see Section 10 in [9]. It is a challenge for experimentalists to see which method can give the best precision. As is clear from (12) APV measurements can also contribute to the study of the spin structure of light nuclei. For recent reviews of the available information on the spin structure of the nucleons see for instance [10–13].

2.2 The n = 2 states for hydrogen and deuterium

We consider the subspace of atomic states with principal quantum number $n = 2$ for hydrogen and deuterium. As discussed in Section I.2, the effective Schrödinger equation for these systems reads in the Wigner-Weisskopf approximation

$$
i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|t) = \underline{\mathscr{M}}(t)|t),\tag{14}
$$

where $\mathcal{M}(t)$ is the non-Hermitian mass matrix and $|t\rangle$ the state vector of the undecayed atom in the $(n = 2)$ subspace. Here and in the following we use, in contrast to the companion paper I, the time t instead of the reduced time τ (I.3) as variable for time dependent quantities. That is, we set $\tau_0 = T$ in (I.3). As in paper I we suppose that $\mathcal{M}(t)$ is diagonalisable for all times t. Then we have for each time t a complete set of right and left eigenvectors satisfying

$$
\underline{\mathscr{M}}(t)|\alpha, t) = E(\alpha, t)|\alpha, t),
$$

$$
(\widetilde{\alpha, t}|\underline{\mathscr{M}}(t) = (\widetilde{\alpha, t}|E(\alpha, t)),
$$

$$
E(\alpha, t) = E_R(\alpha, t) - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma(\alpha, t),
$$

$$
(\alpha = 1, ..., N). \tag{15}
$$

Here $E(\alpha, t)$ are the complex energy eigenvalues which can be separated into a real part $E_R(\alpha, t)$ and an imaginary part $-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma(\alpha, t)$ leading to an exponential decay of the atomic state with decay rate $\Gamma(\alpha, t)$ (see Sect. I.2).

For hydrogen with principal quantum number $n = 2$ there are $N = 16$ basis states, whereas for deuterium we have $N = 24$ states. As a set of basis vectors we choose coupled states of nuclear spin $|I, I_3\rangle$, electron spin $|\frac{1}{2}, S_3\rangle$ and electron orbital angular momentum $|n, L, L_3\rangle$. We denote these basis states by $|nL_J, F, F_3\rangle$, where J is the total angular momentum of the electron and F, F_3 are the quantum numbers for the total angular momentum of the atom.

The mass matrix $\mathcal{M}(t)$ contains contributions from the external fields and the PV Hamiltonians (7), (8). The PV Hamiltonians mix the 2S and 2P states of hydrogen-like atoms in the $(n = 2)$ -subspace. In the Coulomb approximation for the wave functions we get the following matrix elements

$$
\langle 2S_{1/2}, F', F'_3 | H_{\text{PV}}^{(1)} | 2P_{1/2}, F, F_3 \rangle =
$$

\n
$$
-i\delta_1(Z, N)L(Z, N) \delta_{F', F} \delta_{F'_3, F_3},
$$

\n
$$
\langle 2S_{1/2}, F', F'_3 | H_{\text{PV}}^{(2)} | 2P_{1/2}, F, F_3 \rangle =
$$

\n
$$
-i\delta_2(Z, N)L(Z, N) [F(F + 1) - I(I + 1) - \frac{3}{4}]
$$

\n
$$
\times \delta_{F', F} \delta_{F'_3, F_3},
$$

\n
$$
\left(|I - \frac{1}{2}| \le F, F' \le I + \frac{1}{2} \right),
$$

\n(17)

and

$$
\langle 2S_{1/2}, F', F_3'|H_{\text{PV}}^{(1)}|2P_{3/2}, F, F_3\rangle = 0,
$$

$$
\langle 2S_{1/2}, F', F_3'|H_{\text{PV}}^{(2)}|2P_{3/2}, F, F_3\rangle = 0,
$$

$$
\left(|I - \frac{1}{2}| \le F' \le I + \frac{1}{2}; |I - \frac{3}{2}| \le F \le I + \frac{3}{2}\right).
$$
 (18)

In (16), (17) define the PV parameters

$$
\delta_i(Z, N) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}G}{64\pi\sqrt{2}r_B^4(Z)m_e} \frac{Q_W^{(i)}(Z, N)}{L(Z, N)}
$$

= -2.68827(3) × 10⁻¹⁷ $\frac{Z^4 Q_W^{(i)}(Z, N)}{L(Z, N)}$ eV,
(*i* = 1, 2). (19)

Here $r_B(Z)=(Z \alpha m_e)^{-1} = Z^{-1}r_B(1)$ is the first Bohr radius and $L(Z, N) = E(2S_{1/2}) - E(2P_{1/2})$ is the Lamb shift for a hydrogen-like atom with proton number Z and neutron number N . The numerical factor in (19) was obtained using the values for G, $r_B(1)$ and m_e from [9].

With the Lamb shifts listed in Table 3 of Appendix A we get for ordinary hydrogen

$$
\delta_i(1,0) = -6.14477(6) \times 10^{-12} Q_W^{(i)}(1,0), \quad (i = 1, 2), \tag{20}
$$

and for deuterium

$$
\delta_i(1,1) = -6.13671(6) \times 10^{-12} Q_W^{(i)}(1,1), \quad (i = 1,2). \tag{21}
$$

The numerical values of $\delta_{1,2}$ for hydrogen and deuterium can be found in Table 3 of Appendix A. The mass matrix for these atoms in the $(n = 2)$ -subspace including PV contributions can now be written as

$$
\underline{\mathscr{M}}(t) = \underline{\mathscr{M}}_0 - \underline{\mathbf{D}} \cdot \mathcal{E}(t) - \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \cdot \mathcal{B}(t) + \delta_1 \underline{\mathscr{M}}_{\text{PV}}^{(1)} + \delta_2 \underline{\mathscr{M}}_{\text{PV}}^{(2)},
$$
\n(22)

where we define for $i = 1, 2$

$$
\delta_i \underline{\mathscr{M}}_{\text{PV}}^{(i)} = (2L'_{J'}, F', F_3' | H_{\text{PV}}^{(i)} | 2L_J, F, F_3 \rangle). \tag{23}
$$

The mass matrix for zero external fields,

$$
\underline{\tilde{\mathscr{M}}}_0(\delta_1, \delta_2) = \underline{\mathscr{M}}_0 + \delta_1 \underline{\mathscr{M}}_{\text{PV}}^{(1)} + \delta_2 \underline{\mathscr{M}}_{\text{PV}}^{(2)},\tag{24}
$$

and the matrix representations \underline{D} and μ of the electric and magnetic dipole operators are shown in Tables 5, 6 and 7 of Appendix A, respectively.

At the end of this section, we introduce some notation. The atomic states for zero external fields, that is the eigenstates of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_0(\delta_1, \delta_2)$, will be written either in the short-hand notation $(\alpha, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ or, with explicit quantum numbers, in the form $|2\hat{L}_J, F, F_3, \delta_1, \delta_2|$. For non-zero electric and magnetic fields the eigenstates of the full mass matrix $M(t)$ will be written in the forms $(\alpha, t, \delta_1, \delta_2)$, $(\alpha, \mathcal{E}(t), \mathcal{B}(t), \delta_1, \delta_2)$, and $|2\hat{L}_J, F, F_3, \mathcal{E}(t), \mathcal{B}(t), \delta_1, \delta_2|$, depending on the context.

3 Adiabatic limit and geometric phases

In the absence of external fields the 2S states are metastable, the 2P states decay fast. The theoretical lifetimes from recent QED calculations are

$$
\tau_S = \Gamma_S^{-1} = 8.2207^{-1} \,\mathrm{s} = 0.1216 \,\mathrm{s},\tag{25}
$$

$$
\tau_P = \Gamma_P^{-1} = (6.2649 \times 10^8)^{-1} \,\mathrm{s} = 1.596 \times 10^{-9} \,\mathrm{s}, \quad (26)
$$

see [14,15]. An external electric field mixes the 2S and 2P states. The lifetimes of these mixed states are shown for hydrogen in Figure 1, similar results can be obtained for deuterium. For the numbering scheme see Table 4 of Appendix A. Note that the metastable states are labelled in a different way as in I, where the numbering was $\alpha =$ $1,\ldots,M$ for a total of M metastable states.

In the electric field the 2S states, that is, the atomic states with $\alpha \in \{9, 10, 11, 12\} \equiv J_m^H$ for hydrogen and $\alpha \in \{13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18\} \equiv J_m^D$ for deuterium quickly become more and more unstable with increasing |*E*|. Still, for

$$
|\mathcal{E}| \le 250 \,\mathrm{V/cm} \tag{27}
$$

we have for the lifetimes of these states

$$
\tau_{\alpha} \gtrsim 10^{-8} \,\text{s}, \qquad (\alpha \in J_m^{H,D}), \tag{28}
$$

and

$$
\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\beta} \gtrsim 5 \tag{29}
$$

for $\alpha \in J_m^{H,D}$ and β any of the 2P states. The ratio of lifetimes (29) should be sufficient in order to apply the results of I to the systems considered here. In the following we thus have to keep in mind the restriction (27) in the discussion of adiabatic limits and geometric phases.

We suppose that our atom is subjected to slowly varying electric and magnetic fields. In I we have — for mathematical convenience — worked with the limit $T \to \infty$, making the variation of the fields smaller and smaller in real time. In reality we shall identify T with the observation time being of the order of $\tau_s = \Gamma_s^{-1}$. We discuss the resulting adiabaticity condition in Appendix B, where

Fig. 1. The lifetimes of the mixed $2S_{1/2}$ and $2P_{1/2}$ states of hydrogen in an external electric field $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}e_3$. Figure (a) shows the $2S_{1/2}$ states with labels $\alpha \in \{9, 10, 11, 12\}$, figure (b) shows the $2P_{1/2}$ states labelled by $\alpha \in \{13, 14, 15, 16\}$. The lifetimes of the $2P_{3/2}$ states with labels $\alpha \in \{1,\ldots,8\}$ are not shown here. The variation of the $2P_{3/2}$ lifetimes is about two orders of magnitude smaller than that of the $2P_{1/2}$ lifetimes. For the numbering scheme see Table 4 of Appendix A.

based on the results of I we find that typically the rate of change of the external fields must satisfy

$$
\max_{t \in [0,T]} \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_0} \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(t)}{\partial t} \right| < \frac{1}{\tau_S},\tag{30}
$$

$$
\max_{t \in [0,T]} \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}_0} \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{B}(t)}{\partial t} \right| < \frac{1}{\tau_S},\tag{31}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_0 = 477.3 \,\mathrm{V/cm}$ and $\mathcal{B}_0 = 45.65 \,\mathrm{mT}$, see (B.10), (B.16), (B.20) and (B.22). In the following we always suppose this to hold.

Now we can apply the results of Section I.6. We suppose that we start at time $t = 0$ with metastable states only,

$$
\psi_{\alpha}(0) = \begin{cases} \chi_{\alpha}^{(0)} & \alpha \in J_m^{H,D} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$
 (32)

The time evolution of the metastable states is then given by (I.78), neglecting non-adiabatic corrections,

$$
\psi_{\alpha}(t) = \sum_{\beta \in J_m} U_{\alpha\beta}(t) \chi_{\beta}^{(0)}.
$$
\n(33)

Here and in the following we use J_m always understanding it as J_m^H for hydrogen and J_m^D for deuterium. In the present paper we shall only discuss the case where, by suitable magnetic fields, the eigenenergies of the metastable states are separated such that condition (I.19) holds. We have then, according to I, the case that the components of the metastable states evolve independently and no mixing occurs. In other words, the geometric phase matrix is diagonal (see (I.86)–(I.88)) and we have (with $\tau_0 = T$, $\tau = t$)

$$
\psi_{\alpha}(t) = \exp\left[-i\varphi_{\alpha}(t) + i\gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(t)\right]\chi_{\alpha}^{(0)}\tag{34}
$$

for $\alpha \in J_m$. Here $\varphi_\alpha(t)$ is the dynamical phase (see (I.21))

$$
\varphi_{\alpha}(t) = \int_0^t dt' E(\alpha, t')
$$
\n(35)

and $\gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(t)$ is the geometric phase (I.22) given here by

$$
\gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(t,\delta_1,\delta_2) = \int_0^t dt' \; (\alpha,\widetilde{t',\delta_1},\delta_2 | i\frac{\partial}{\partial t'}|\alpha,t',\delta_1,\delta_2). \tag{36}
$$

In detail we shall suppose that there is a constant magnetic field *B* in 3-direction and that only the electric field $\mathcal{E}(t)$ varies with time. The magnetic field alone will lead to the usual Breit-Rabi diagram of the energy levels. This is shown in Figures 2a and 2b for the hydrogen and deuterium 2S states, respectively.

For a magnetic field $|\mathcal{B}| > 1$ mT the splittings in energy ΔE of the Zeeman levels satisfy

$$
|\Delta E| \gtrsim \Delta E_0 = 0.02 \,\mu\text{eV},
$$

$$
\Delta E_0/h \cong 5 \,\text{MHz.}
$$
 (37)

Then the frequencies associated with the variation of the electric field should be much smaller than the one of (37). That is, we consider the Fourier analysis of the electric field strength:

$$
\mathcal{E}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega t} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\omega).
$$
 (38)

The requirement is that $|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\omega)| \neq 0$ only for

$$
|\omega| \ll |\Delta E_0/\hbar| \cong 3 \times 10^7 \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}.\tag{39}
$$

In other words, the variation of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t)$ should be negligible over time intervals of order $h/\Delta E_0 \cong 2 \times 10^{-7}$ s. Then we will have a geometric phase matrix which is diagonal.

In [16] it was shown that time reversal invariance forbids shifts linear in the P-violating parameters for the eigenenergies of states in a spatially constant electromagnetic field. This implies, in particular, that the energies

Fig. 2. The Breit-Rabi diagrams for the metastable states of hydrogen (a) and deuterium (b). The energy values are relative to the centre of zero-field energies of the $2P_{1/2}$ states.

 $E(\alpha, t)$ and the dynamical phases $\varphi_{\alpha}(t)$ have no δ -linear terms. They are insensitive to P-violation if we neglect terms of order δ^2 . The geometric phases, on the other hand, do have δ -linear terms as we shall see explicitly below.

In the following it is convenient to discuss the geometric phases using the parameter space \mathcal{R} , which in our case is the six dimensional space of electric and magnetic field strengths. The path $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{R}$ along which the atom is led in

R is given by a time dependent parameter vector

$$
\boldsymbol{R}(t) = (\mathcal{E}_1(t), \mathcal{E}_2(t), \mathcal{E}_3(t), \mathcal{B}_1(t), \mathcal{B}_2(t), \mathcal{B}_3(t)).
$$
 (40)

The time dependence of the atomic basis states (15) is only through *R*. That is, we can set

$$
|\alpha, t, \delta_1, \delta_2| = |\alpha, \mathbf{R}(t), \delta_1, \delta_2)
$$
 (41)

and thus

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\alpha, t, \delta_1, \delta_2) = \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}|\alpha, \mathbf{R}, \delta_1, \delta_2| \bigg|_{\mathbf{R}(t)} \frac{d\mathbf{R}(t)}{dt}.
$$
 (42)

Hence, the integral (36) can be transformed into a lineintegral in parameter space,

$$
\gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathcal{C}, \delta_1, \delta_2) = \int_{\mathcal{C}} d\mathbf{R} \cdot (\alpha, \widetilde{\mathbf{R}, \delta_1}, \delta_2 | i \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} | \alpha, \mathbf{R}, \delta_1, \delta_2).
$$
\n(43)

In Section 4 we shall consider closed paths $\mathcal C$ and transform (43) into a surface-integral. From this we will derive the geometric flux densities that will turn out to be extremely useful for visualisation purposes of geometric phases.

However, numerical calculations of geometric phases for a given parametrised field configuration can be performed quite easily by using (36). Introducing the local matrix elements of the time derivative,

$$
\underline{\mathscr{D}}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}(t), \delta_1, \delta_2) = (\alpha, \widetilde{\mathbf{R}(t)}, \widetilde{\delta_1}, \delta_2 | \frac{\partial}{\partial t} | \beta, \mathbf{R}(t), \delta_1, \delta_2),
$$
\n(44)

the geometric phase (36) reads

$$
\gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(t,\delta_1,\delta_2) = \mathbf{i} \int_0^t dt' \ \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{R}(t'),\delta_1,\delta_2). \tag{45}
$$

(In the notation of I we have for $\tau_0 = T$, $a_{\alpha\alpha} = i \mathcal{D}_{\alpha\alpha}$) see (I.28)).

In order to study PC and PV contributions to the geometric phases separately, we use perturbation theory to expand the atomic states in powers of the PV parameters $\delta_{1,2}$. This is discussed in Appendix C. We find with $(C.36)$ – $(C.40)$ for the matrix elements (44) for $\beta = \alpha$

$$
\underline{\mathscr{D}}_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{R}(t), \delta_1, \delta_2) = \underline{\mathscr{D}}_{\text{PC}, \alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{R}(t)) \n+ \delta_1 \underline{\mathscr{D}}_{\text{PV}, \alpha\alpha}^{(1)}(\mathbf{R}(t)) + \delta_2 \underline{\mathscr{D}}_{\text{PV}, \alpha\alpha}^{(2)}(\mathbf{R}(t)) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2), \quad (46)
$$

where $\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$ is the short-hand notation for $\mathcal{O}(\delta_1^2, \delta_2^2, \delta_1 \delta_2)$ and

$$
\underline{\mathscr{D}}_{\text{PC},\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{R}(t)) = (\alpha^{(0)}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{R}}(t)| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} | \beta^{(0)}, \boldsymbol{R}(t)),
$$
(47)

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{\text{PV},\alpha\alpha}^{(i)}(\mathbf{R}(t)) = \sum_{\gamma \neq \alpha} \left(\frac{\mathcal{M}_{\text{PV},\alpha\gamma}^{(i)}(\mathbf{R}(t)) \mathcal{Q}_{\text{PC},\gamma\alpha}(\mathbf{R}(t))}{E(\alpha^{(0)},\mathbf{R}(t)) - E(\gamma^{(0)},\mathbf{R}(t))} + \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{\text{PC},\alpha\gamma}(\mathbf{R}(t)) \mathcal{M}_{\text{PV},\gamma\alpha}^{(i)}(\mathbf{R}(t))}{E(\alpha^{(0)},\mathbf{R}(t)) - E(\gamma^{(0)},\mathbf{R}(t))} \right),\tag{48}
$$

$$
\underline{\mathscr{M}}_{\text{PV},\alpha\gamma}^{(i)}(\mathbf{R}(t)) = (\alpha^{(0)}, \widetilde{\mathbf{R}}(t)|\underline{\mathscr{M}}_{\text{PV}}^{(i)}|\gamma^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}(t)),\qquad(49)
$$

$$
(i = 1, 2).
$$

Here, $|\alpha^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}(t)\rangle$ and $E(\alpha^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}(t))$ are the eigenstates and eigenvalues of the mass matrix, obtained from (22) by setting $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$,

$$
\underline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathbf{R}(t),0) \equiv \underline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathbf{R}(t),\delta_1,\delta_2)|_{\delta_1=\delta_2=0}
$$

=
$$
\underline{\mathscr{M}}_0 - \underline{\mathbf{D}} \cdot \mathcal{E}(t) - \underline{\mathbf{\mu}} \cdot \mathcal{B}(t).
$$
 (50)

From (45)–(49) we obtain the PC and PV contributions for the geometric phases,

$$
\gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(t,\delta_1,\delta_2) = \gamma_{\text{PC},\alpha\alpha}(t) + \delta_1 \gamma_{\text{PV},\alpha\alpha}^{(1)}(t) + \delta_2 \gamma_{\text{PV},\alpha\alpha}^{(2)}(t) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2),
$$
\n(51)

$$
\gamma_{\text{PC},\alpha\alpha}(t) = \mathrm{i} \int_0^t dt' \, \mathcal{Q}_{\text{PC},\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{R}(t')), \qquad (52)
$$

$$
\gamma_{\text{PV},\alpha\alpha}^{(i)}(t) = \mathrm{i} \int_0^t dt' \, \underline{\mathscr{D}}_{\text{PV},\alpha\alpha}^{(i)}(\mathbf{R}(t')), \quad (i = 1, 2). \tag{53}
$$

4 Geometric flux densities

In the following we always consider a closed path $\mathcal C$ in parameter space.

The direct evaluation of (51) – (53) is the easiest way to obtain numerical values of geometric phases for a given path. The problem is to find suitable paths in parameter space that maximise PV or PC geometric phases while keeping the decay of metastable states to a minimum. In this section we will introduce geometric flux densities in order to get a visualisation and a better understanding of geometric phases. We will also discuss our numerical results for PV geometric phases at the end of the section.

By using the generalised Stokes' theorem the integral (43) , for a closed path C, can be transformed into a surface-integral in parameter space. The detailed calculation can be found in Appendix C and gives (see (C.30))

$$
\gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathcal{C}) = \int_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E})}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot d\mathbf{f}^{(\mathcal{E})} + \int_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{B})}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot d\mathbf{f}^{(\mathcal{B})} + \int_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B})}(\mathbf{R}).
$$
\n(54)

Here, $\mathcal F$ is a two-dimensional surface in the parameter space R with $\mathcal{C} = \partial \mathcal{F}$.

Thus, the geometric phases can be written as a sum of three integrals. The first integral is evaluated in the space of electric field strengths, the second integral in the space of magnetic field strengths and the third in the full six-dimensional parameter space. The integrands $\mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{E})}_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{B})}(R)$ are three-dimensional vector fields in the spaces of electric and magnetic field strengths, respectively. They can be interpreted as geometric flux densities and are a useful tool for visualisation and understanding of geometric phases as we will demonstrate below.

The geometric flux densities can be split into PC and PV parts by using perturbation theory. The details of this calculation can be found in Appendix C.3, where we have used only one combined PV parameter δ (see (C.31)),

$$
\delta = (\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2)^{1/2}.
$$
 (55)

From the PV geometric flux density in electric-field space (C.48) we can easily derive the nuclear spin independent and dependent flux densities. We obtain from (C.46)– (C.48) the geometric flux densities in electric field space as

$$
\mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{E})}_{\ell,\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{R},\delta_1,\delta_2) = \mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{E},\text{PC})}_{\ell,\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{R}) + \delta_1 \mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{E},\text{PV})}_{1,\ell,\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{R}) + \delta_2 \mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{E},\text{PV})}_{2,\ell,\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{R}), \quad (56)
$$

$$
\mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{E},\text{PC})}_{\ell,\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{ij\ell} \left(\sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{\underline{D}_{i,\alpha\beta}^{\text{PC}}(\mathbf{R}) \underline{D}_{j,\beta\alpha}^{\text{PC}}(\mathbf{R}) - (i \leftrightarrow j)}{(E(\alpha^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}) - E(\beta^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}))^2} \right), \tag{57}
$$

$$
\mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{E},\text{PV})}_{\ell,\varkappa,\alpha\alpha}(\boldsymbol{R}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{ij\ell} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \left(\underline{D}^{\text{PC}}_{i,\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{R}) \underline{D}^{\text{PV}}_{\varkappa,j,\beta\alpha}(\boldsymbol{R}) + \underline{D}^{\text{PV}}_{\varkappa,i,\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{R}) \underline{D}^{\text{PC}}_{j,\beta\alpha}(\boldsymbol{R}) - (i \leftrightarrow j) \right) \times \left(E(\alpha^{(0)}, \boldsymbol{R}) - E(\beta^{(0)}, \boldsymbol{R}) \right)^{-2} \Bigg), \quad (58)
$$

for $\ell = 1, 2, 3$. Here the matrix elements of the electric dipole operator enter. For $\underline{D}^{\text{PC}}(R)$ see (C.44). The two PV contributions to the electric dipole operator follow from (C.45) and read

$$
\underline{\mathbf{D}}_{\varkappa,\alpha\beta}^{\text{PV}}(\boldsymbol{R}) = \sum_{\gamma \neq \alpha} \frac{\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{PV},\alpha\gamma}^{(\varkappa)}(\boldsymbol{R}) \underline{\mathbf{D}}_{\gamma\beta}^{\text{PC}}(\boldsymbol{R})}{E(\alpha^{(0)},\boldsymbol{R}) - E(\gamma^{(0)},\boldsymbol{R})} + \sum_{\gamma \neq \beta} \frac{\underline{\mathbf{D}}_{\alpha\gamma}^{\text{PC}}(\boldsymbol{R}) \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{PV},\gamma\beta}^{(\varkappa)}(\boldsymbol{R})}{E(\beta^{(0)},\boldsymbol{R}) - E(\gamma^{(0)},\boldsymbol{R})}
$$
(59)

for $\varkappa = 1, 2$.

Similar results follow for the geometric flux densities in magnetic field space by making the replacements $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{D}} \to \mu$ in (56)–(59).

We will restrict ourselves in the following to the case of constant magnetic field. We are then dealing only with the three dimensional electric-field-strength parameter space. Correspondingly, the closed path $\mathcal C$ and the surface $\mathcal F$ with $C = \partial \mathcal{F}$ refer to this space. The geometric phase (54) reads then

$$
\gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathcal{C}) = \int_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E})}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot d\mathbf{f}^{(\mathcal{E})} \qquad \text{(for } \mathcal{B} = \text{const}). \tag{60}
$$

We will now study $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E})}(R)$ in some detail for the metastable states of hydrogen and deuterium. The numerical calculation of these vector fields is done for magnetic

Fig. 3. The PC geometric flux density field of the hydrogen state $|9 \rangle \equiv |2\hat{S}_{1/2}, 1, 1, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B} = 1 \,\text{mT} \rangle$ in electric-field space. The diagram on the l.h.s. shows the real parts of the flux density vectors, the diagram in the middle shows the imaginary parts. The diagram on the r.h.s. indicates the position of the plotted plane in electric field space.

Fig. 4. The PC geometric flux density field of the hydrogen state $|10\rangle \equiv |2S_{1/2}, 1, 0, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}| = 1 \,\text{mT}$ in electric-field space. The diagram on the l.h.s. shows the real parts of the flux density vectors, the diagram in the middle shows the imaginary parts. The diagram on the r.h.s. indicates the position of the plotted plane in electric field space.

fields pointing in 3-direction. We show first some examples of flux density fields in electric-field space. In Figures 3 and 4 the PC flux densities of the hydrogen states with $\alpha \in \{9, 10\}$ are shown. Rotational invariance of the fields around the \mathcal{E}_3 -axis is indicated here, as the plots of the \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_3 -plane are identical to the plots of the \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 -plane. In Figure 3 a toroidal structure of the flux density field shows up, whereas in Figure 4 a source and a sink can be seen. The corresponding plots for the other metastable hydrogen and deuterium states look very similar and can be found online [17].

Figure 5 shows the nuclear spin dependent PV flux density of the hydrogen state $|9 \rangle \equiv |2\hat{S}_{1/2}, 1, 1, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}|$ in the $\mathcal{E}_1-\mathcal{E}_2$ -plane for $\mathcal{E}_3=0$. The solenoidal character and the cylindrical symmetry of the flux density can be seen.

Fig. 5. The nuclear spin dependent PV flux density field of the hydrogen state $|9 \rangle \equiv |2 \hat{S}_{1/2}, 1, 1, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B} = 1 \text{ mT} \rangle$ in the \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 -plane with $\mathcal{E}_3 = 0$. The figure shows from left to right the real and imaginary part of the flux density and the location of the plane in electric field space.

Fig. 6. Two example paths for a purely PV geometric phase, assuming a constant magnetic field in 3-direction.

Many similar plots for different values of \mathcal{E}_3 and all other metastable hydrogen and deuterium states can also be found online [17].

A study of many vector plots shows that $\mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{E},\text{PC})}_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B})$ has only radial and 3-components whereas $\mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{E},\text{PV})}_{\epsilon,\alpha\alpha}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B})$ $(x = 1, 2)$ has only an azimuthal component. At least, this holds to the accuracy of the numerical calculations in all cases studied so far.

Furthermore, the vector plots directly confirm the PC and PV character of the vector fields $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E},\text{PC})}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B})$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\varkappa,\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E},\text{PV})}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B}), (\varkappa=1,2).$ This is discussed in Appendix C.3, see (C.64) and (C.67), respectively. The plots also show that in all cases studied so far the PV vector fields in electric field space are source free, that is we have, to our numerical accuracy,

$$
\nabla_{\mathcal{E}} \cdot \mathcal{J}_{\varkappa,\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E},\text{PV})}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B}) = 0
$$
 (61)

for $\varkappa = 1, 2$, whereas the PC flux fields can have sources and sinks.

These vector field plots show that the PC and PV flux densities are orthogonal to each other and that they, furthermore, make it easy to choose closed paths in parameter space which maximise PC or PV geometric phases, respectively. Two examples of closed paths in parameter space that will give purely PV geometric phases are shown in Figure 6. The path (a) — consisting of two-point symmetric loops — gives a purely PV geometric phase for an arbitrary constant magnetic field since the PC contributions of the two loops cancel each other due to (C.64), whereas due to (C.67) the PV contributions will be the same for each loop and add up.

The PV geometric phases of all metastable hydrogen and deuterium states have been calculated numerically by evaluating the surface-integral (60) over the PV geometric flux densities (58) for a rectangular surface as shown in Figure 6b. In detail, we define the surface $\mathcal F$ by

$$
\mathcal{F} = \{ \mathcal{E}; \ \mathcal{E}_1 = 0, \ \mathcal{E}_2 \in [0, 250 \text{ V/cm}], \\ \mathcal{E}_3 \in [-125 \text{ V/cm}, 125 \text{ V/cm}] \} \quad (62)
$$

and use a constant magnetic field

$$
\mathcal{B} = (0, 0, 1 \,\mathrm{mT}).\tag{63}
$$

We have also evaluated the line-integrals (53) along $\partial \mathcal{F}$ numerically in order to cross-check our results which are listed in Table 1. We find that the results from both methods match nicely and give an indication of the accuracy of the numerical calculations. The total PV geometric phases are (see (51))

$$
\gamma_{\rm PV, \alpha\alpha} = \delta_1 \gamma_{\rm PV, \alpha\alpha}^{(1)} + \delta_2 \gamma_{\rm PV, \alpha\alpha}^{(2)},\tag{64}
$$

with the PV parameters $\delta_{1,2}$ taken from Table 3. The $\gamma_{\rm PV, \alpha\alpha}$ are listed in Table 2.

We will now discuss the results shown in Table 2. First of all, the metastable hydrogen states |9) and |10) have the largest PV geometric phases. In Figure 7, the corresponding real parts of the nuclear spin dependent fluxes $\mathcal{J}_{2,\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E},\text{PV})}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B}) \cdot df^{(\mathcal{E})}/|df^{(\mathcal{E})}|$ are shown. They represent the dominant contributions to $\gamma_{\text{PV},\alpha\alpha}$ for these states. The areas of the largest contributions to the flux are centred around $\mathcal{E}_3 = 0$ and extend to higher values of \mathcal{E}_2 . In fact, the PV geometric flux can be increased by one order of magnitude for a surface with $\mathcal{E}_2 \in [0, 1000 \text{ V/cm}]$, but then, of course, (27) no longer holds for every point on that surface and the mixed 2S states can in general no longer be considered as metastable, see Appendix B.

The quoted uncertainties in the results of Table 2 only take into account the errors of the PV parameters $\delta_{1,2}$ given in Table 3. The numerical uncertainties have been neglected but can be estimated roughly by comparison of the results for the surface and the line-integration and seem to be around a few percent. The only PV geometric phase for which the surface- and the line-integration results do not coincide within 1σ is the result for the metastable deuterium state $|16\rangle = |2\hat{S}_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}|.$ The small uncertainty due to $\delta_{1,2}$ in $\gamma_{PV,16,16}$ is due to its small nuclear spin dependent contribution $\gamma_{\rm PV,16\,16}^{(2)}$ (see Tab. 1). For all other hydrogen and deuterium states the contribution from $\gamma_{PV,\alpha\alpha}^{(2)}$ dominates over that from $\gamma_{\text{PV},\alpha\alpha}^{(1)}$ in $\gamma_{\text{PV},\alpha\alpha}$. This, together with the fact that δ_2 for deuterium is consistent with zero and has a statistical error larger than 500% (see Tab. 3), is the reason for the large uncertainties due to $\delta_{1,2}$ (up to 50%) of all metastable deuterium states with $\alpha \neq 16$.

In Figure 8 we show the real parts of the PV flux densities for the deuterium states $(13) = |2\hat{S}_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})$ and $|16\rangle = |2\hat{S}_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})$. Figures 8a–8c are similar to the corresponding hydrogen flux densities shown in

Table 1. Numerical results of PV geometric phases for metastable hydrogen (a) and deuterium (b).

		(1)	
(a)		$\gamma_{\rm PV,\alpha\alpha}$	
α	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{1/2},F,F_3)$	surface	line
9	$ 2\hat{S}_{1/2}, 1, 1 $	$-0.197 + 0.029i$	$-0.192 + 0.029i$
10	$ 2\hat{S}_{1/2}, 1, 0\rangle$	$0.144 - 0.019i$	$0.144 - 0.019i$
11	$ 2\hat{S}_{1/2}, 1, -1\rangle$	$0.039 - 0.008i$	$0.035 - 0.007i$
12	$\vert 2\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{1/2},0,0)$	$0.034 - 0.006i$	$0.033 - 0.005i$
		(2) $\gamma_{\rm PV, \alpha\alpha}$	
α	$\ket{2\ddot{\mathrm{S}}_{1/2},F,F_3}$	surface	line
9	$ 2\hat{S}_{1/2}, 1, 1 $	$-3.055 + 0.310i$	$-3.055 + 0.310i$
10	$ 2\hat{S}_{1/2}, 1, 0)$	$4.208 - 0.387i$	$4.213 - 0.387i$
11	$ 2\hat{S}_{1/2}, 1, -1)$	$-0.989 + 0.058i$	$-0.994 + 0.059i$
12	$\vert 2\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{1/2},0,0)$	$-0.161 + 0.018i$	$-0.161 + 0.018i$
(b)		$\gamma^{(1)}_\text{PV,\alpha\alpha}$	
α	$ 2 \hat{S}_{1/2}, F, F_3)$	surface	line
13	$ 2\hat{S}_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$		$-0.138 + 0.022i$ $-0.133 + 0.021i$
14	$ 2\hat{S}_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	$-0.077 + 0.012i$	$-0.073 + 0.012i$
15	$ 2\hat{S}_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}) $	$-0.012 + 0.002i$	$-0.011 + 0.002i$
16	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{1/2}, \frac{\bar{3}}{2}, -\frac{\bar{3}}{2})$	$0.092 - 0.015i$	$0.088 - 0.015i$
17	$ 2\hat{S}_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	$0.129 - 0.020i$	$0.125 - 0.020i$
18	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{1/2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})$	$0.037 - 0.006i$	$0.035 - 0.006i$
		$\gamma^{(2)}_{\rm PV,\alpha\alpha}$	
α	$ 2 \hat{S}_{1/2}, F, F_3)$	surface	line
13	$ 2\hat{S}_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$	$-20.00 + 2.25i$	$-20.03 + 2.25i$
14	$ 2\hat{S}_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	$11.64 - 1.30i$	$11.67 - 1.30i$
15	$ 2\hat{S}_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}) $	$13.44 - 1.46i$	$13.46 - 1.46i$
16	$\left 2\hat{S}_{1/2},\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2}\right)$	$-0.24 - 0.04i$	$-0.24 - 0.04i$
17	$ 2\hat{S}_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	$-11.54 + 1.35i$	$-11.56 + 1.35i$
18	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{1/2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})$	$6.70 - 0.80i$	$6.72 - 0.80i$

Table 2. The total PV geometric phases (64) for the metastable states of hydrogen (a) and deuterium (b). The errors quoted are discussed in the text.

Fig. 7. The real parts of the projected, nuclear spin dependent flux densities $J_{2,\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E},PV)}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B}) \cdot df^{(\mathcal{E})}/|df^{(\mathcal{E})}|$ of the metastable
hydrogen states (0) (a) and (10) (b). Brighter areas correspond hydrogen states $\overline{9}$ (a) and (10) (b). Brighter areas correspond to a larger absolute value of the geometric flux. The solid black lines are contour lines for the levels shown on the right hand sides of the density plots.

Figure 7. Only Figure 8d, which shows the nuclear spin dependent PV flux density of |16), looks different. Compared to Figure 8b one can see a difference of two orders of magnitude between both flux densities. Furthermore, Figure 8d shows a change of sign between the maximum and minimum of the plotted flux density values. The geometric flux density also increases both in positive and negative \mathcal{E}_3 -direction.

5 Conclusions and outlook

In this work we have shown that PV geometric phases in the lightest metastable atoms, 2S hydrogen and deuterium, exist. We have considered adiabatic changes of the electric field along suitable closed paths $\mathcal C$ with a constant magnetic field in order to avoid degeneracies of atomic energy levels. The metastable states of hydrogen and deuterium indeed pick up non-zero PV geometric phases in this way.

The geometric flux densities provide us with a good way to visualise geometric phases as fluxes through areas $\mathcal F$ in parameter space with $\mathcal C = \partial F$. In this way we could see how to choose the path $\mathcal C$ in order to get only a PC or only a PV geometric phase.

Fig. 8. The real parts of the two PV contributions to the geometric fluxes of the metastable deuterium states $|13\rangle = |2\hat{S}_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})$ (figures (a), (b)) and $|16\rangle =$ $|2\hat{S}_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})$ (figures (c), (d)). In (a) and (c) the nuclear spin independent, whereas in (b) and (d) the nuclear spin dependent contributions are shown.

We have then studied numerical values of geometric phases for a closed rectangular loop in electric-field space (see Fig. 6b) where only PV and no PC phases occur. The PV phases have been calculated numerically both from the surface and from the line-integrals, see (60) and (53), respectively. The results agreed within the numerical uncertainty. The resulting PV geometric phases are listed in Tables 1 and 2. The geometric contributions $\gamma_{PV,\alpha\alpha}^{(1,2)}$ can be large, see Table 1. The smallness of the results for the total PV geometric phase in Table 2 is solely due to the smallness of the PV parameters $\delta_{1,2}$. It remains to be seen if such small effects can be enhanced by suitable iterations or other means in order to make them large enough to be measurable.

Even if the results for PV geometric phases presented in this paper are numerically small we find that they have an interest of principle. They show that geometric phases can carry information from parity violation in atoms. This was first discussed in [18] and has now been investigated in detail for the 2S states of hydrogen and deuterium.

There are numerous things left to be studied in the future. So far, we have only investigated Abelian geometric phases for constant magnetic fields. The investigation of Abelian geometric phases for varying magnetic field strengths is a challenging task for further studies. Furthermore, non-Abelian geometric phases could reveal interesting new features of PV geometric phases.

The authors thank M. DeKieviet and D. Dubbers for many useful discussions and W. Wetzel for his continuing support concerning the computational aspects of this work. They also thank W. Bernreuther, D. Bruß, and K. Jungmann for encouraging comments. This work was supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under project No. NA 296/3-1.

Appendix A: Values for quantities related to n = 2 hydrogen and deuterium

In this appendix we collect the numerical values for the quantities entering our calculations for hydrogen and deuterium states with principal quantum number $n = 2$. We specify our numbering scheme for these states and give the expressions for the mass matrices at zero external fields, and for the electric and the magnetic dipole operators.

In Table 3 we present the numerical values for the weak charges $Q_{W}^{(\varkappa)}, \varkappa = 1, 2$, the quantities Δq , the Lamb shift $L = E(2S_{1/2}) - E(2P_{1/2})$, and the fine structure splitting $\Delta = E(2P_{3/2}) - E(2P_{1/2})$. The ground state hyperfine splitting energy is denoted by A. We have $\mathcal{A} = E(1S_{1/2}, F = 1) - E(1S_{1/2}, F = 0)$ for hydrogen and $A = E(1S_{1/2}, F = 3/2) - E(1S_{1/2}, F = 1/2)$ for deuterium. The $n = 2$ states of hydrogen and deuterium in the absence of P-violation and for zero external field are denoted by $|2L_J, F, F_3|$, where L, J, F and F_3 are the quantum numbers of the electron's orbital angular momentum, its total angular momentum, the total atomic angular momentum and its third component, respectively. The quantum numbers S for the electron spin and I for

Table 3. Values of parameters for numerical calculations. The weak mixing angle in the low energy limit, $\sin^2 \vartheta_W$ = 0.23867(16), was taken from [22]. The uncertainty in δ_1 is dominated by the uncertainty of $\sin^2 \theta_W$. The values of $Q_W^{(2)}$ and δ_2
for douterium are consistent with zero, according to the value for deuterium are consistent with zero, according to the value of Δs . The uncertainties in $Q_W^{(2)}$ and δ_2 for hydrogen are re-
sulting from the errors of the work mixing angle and Δs in sulting from the errors of the weak mixing angle and Δs in equal shares.

	${}^{1}_{1}H$	Ref.
Ζ	1	
\overline{N}	$\boldsymbol{0}$	
\overline{I}	$\frac{1}{2}$	
$Q^{(1)}_W(Z,N)$	0.04532(64)	(11)
$\delta_1(Z,N)$	$-2.78(4) \times 10^{-13}$	$\left(20\right)$
$\Delta u(Z,N) - \Delta d(Z,N)$	1.2695(29)	$\left[9\right]$
$\Delta s(Z,N)$	0.006(29)(7)	[19]
$Q_{W}^{(2)}(Z, N)$	$-0.1145(31)$	(12)
$\delta_2(Z,N)$	$7.04(19) \times 10^{-13}$	$\left(20\right)$
L(Z,N)/h	1057.8440(24) MHz	[20]
$\Delta(Z,N)/h$	10969.0416(48) MHz	[20]
A(Z, N)/h	1420.405751768(1) MHz	21
	${}^{2}_{1}D$	Ref.
Z	1	
\overline{N}	1	
\overline{I}	1	
$\overline{Q}_W^{(1)}(Z,N)$	$-0.95468(64)$	(11)
$\delta_1(Z,N)$	$58.59(4) \times 10^{-13}$	$\left(21\right)$
$\Delta u(Z,N) - \Delta d(Z,N)$	Ω	$\lceil 9 \rceil$
$\varDelta s(Z,N)$	0.012(58)(14)	$\left\lceil 19 \right\rceil$
$Q_{W}^{(2)}(Z, N)$	0.0005(26)	(12)
$\delta_2(Z,N)$	$0.03(17) \times 10^{-13}$	$\left(21\right)$
L(Z,N)/h	$1059.2330(26) \text{ MHz}$	[20]
$\Delta(Z,N)/h$	10972.0355(48) MHz	20
$\mathcal{A}(Z,N)/h$	327.384352522(2) MHz	21

the nuclear spin are omitted, since these are fixed quantities for each atomic species. In the following the ordering of the atomic states in the matrix representations of operators is according to decreasing F_3 , F , J and L .

In Table 4 we give the numbering scheme for the states which we consider. For electric field *E* and magnetic field *B* equal to zero we have the free 2S and 2P states. We write \hat{L} , \hat{P} , \hat{S} since these states include the parity mixing due to $H_{\rm PV}$ (1).

Consider first atoms in a constant *B*-field pointing in positive 3-direction,

$$
\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}e_3, \quad \mathcal{B} \ge 0. \tag{A.1}
$$

The corresponding states $|2\hat{L}_J, F, F_3, 0, \mathcal{B}e_3|$ are obtained from those at $\mathcal{B} = 0$ by continuously turning on **B** in the form (A.1). Of course, for $|\mathcal{B}| \neq 0$, F is no longer a good quantum number. Here it is merely a label for the states.

We now choose a reference field $\mathcal{B}_{ref} = \mathcal{B}_{ref} e_3$, $\mathcal{B}_{ref} >$ 0, below the first crossings in the Breit-Rabi diagrams, for instance $\mathcal{B}_{\text{ref}} = 0.05 \,\text{mT}$. We define the states $|2\hat{L}_J, F, F_3,$ \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) for arbitrary \mathcal{B} fields in the neighbourhood of \mathcal{B}_{ref} as the states obtained continuously from $|2L_J, F, F_3, 0, \mathcal{B}_{\text{ref}}\rangle$

Table 4. The numbering scheme for the atomic states of hydrogen and deuterium.

	hydrogen		deuterium
α	$2L_J, F, F_3, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})$	α	$ 2L_J, F, F_3, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) $
$\mathbf{1}$	$(2\hat{P}_{3/2}, 2, 2, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})$	$\mathbf{1}$	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{3/2},\frac{5}{2},-\frac{5}{2},\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B}) $
$\overline{2}$	$2\tilde{P}_{3/2}, 2, 1, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}$	$\overline{2}$	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{3/2},\frac{5}{2},-\frac{3}{2},\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B}) $
$\sqrt{3}$	$2\hat{P}_{3/2}, 2, 0, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}$	3	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{3/2},\frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2},\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B}) $
$\overline{4}$	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{3/2},2,-1,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}})$	$\overline{4}$	$ 2\hat{\rm P}_{3/2},\frac{5}{2},-\frac{1}{2},\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B}) $
$\bf 5$	$2\hat{P}_{3/2}, 2, -2, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})$	5	$(2\hat{P}_{3/2},\frac{5}{2},-\frac{3}{2},\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B})$
6	$ 2\hat{P}_{3/2}, 1, 1, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) $	6	$ 2\hat{\rm P}_{3/2},\frac{5}{2},-\frac{5}{2},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}})$
$7+$	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{3/2},1, 0, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) $	$\overline{7}$	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{3/2}, \frac{\bar{3}}{2}, \, \,\frac{\bar{3}}{2}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})$
8	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{3/2},1,-1,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}})$	8	$(2\hat{P}_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})$
		9	$ 2\mathrm{\tilde{P}}_{3/2},\tfrac{3}{2},-\tfrac{1}{2},\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B}) $
		10	$[2\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{3/2},\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}})$
		11	$(2\hat{P}_{3/2},\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})$
		12	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{3/2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}})$
9	$ 2\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{1/2}, 1, 1, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) $	13	$(2\hat{S}_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})$
10	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{1/2},1, 0,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}})$	14	$[2\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{1/2}, \frac{\overline{3}}{2}, \frac{\overline{1}}{2}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}]$
11	$[2\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{1/2},1,-1,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}})$	15	$2\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{1/2},\frac{3}{2},-\frac{1}{2},\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B})$
12	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{1/2},0, 0,\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) $	16	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{1/2},\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}})$
		17	$[2\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{1/2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B})$
		18	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{1/2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}})$
13	$ 2\hat{P}_{1/2}, 1, 1, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B} $	19	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{1/2},\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) $
14	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{1/2},1, 0, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) $	20	$ 2\hat{\rm P}_{1/2},\frac{3}{2}, \;\;\frac{1}{2},\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B}) $
15	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{1/2},1,-1,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}})$	21	$ 2\hat{\rm P}_{1/2},\frac{3}{2},-\frac{1}{2},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}})$
16	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{1/2},0, 0,\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) $	22	$(2\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{1/2},\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}})$
		23	$ 2\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{1/2},\tfrac{1}{2},-\tfrac{1}{2},\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B}) $
		24	$ 2\hat{\rm P}_{1/2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}})$

by turning on \mathcal{E} and $(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}_{\text{ref}})$ as $\lambda \mathcal{E}$ and $\lambda(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}_{\text{ref}})$, respectively, with $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then both F and F_3 are only labels for these states and no longer good quantum numbers.

Tables 5–10 are supplied as supplementary online material and show the non-zero parts of the mass matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{M}_0}$ for zero external fields, of the electric dipole operator *D* and of the magnetic dipole operator μ for the $n = 2$ states, Tables 5–7 for hydrogen, 8–10 for deuterium.

Appendix B: The adiabaticity condition

In this appendix we discuss the conditions, which have to be satisfied in order to apply the general results of I to the $n = 2$ systems of hydrogen and deuterium.

The condition to have a group of metastable and a group of fast decaying states was already discussed in Section 3. We found in (27) that we have to require $|\mathcal{E}| \lesssim 250 \,\mathrm{V/cm}$ for $|\mathcal{B}| = 0$. Turning on the **B** field $(|\mathcal{B}| \leq 5 \,\mathrm{mT}|)$ one finds that the lifetimes of the $n = 2$ states do not change substantially.

Now we come to the question how slow the variation in time of the $\mathcal E$ and $\mathcal B$ fields ought to be for the results of I to be applicable. In I we worked, for mathematical convenience, with a reduced time τ and a total time T. We studied the limit $T \to \infty$.

Consider, for example, the two-state system of Section I.4. The leading terms for $T \to \infty$ are given in (I.50) and (I.51) and, with an estimate of the first correction terms, in (I.A.14) and (I.A.15). The first correction terms should be small and thus we should require

$$
\frac{2c_{12}}{T\,\Delta\varGamma_{\min}} \ll 1,\tag{B.1}
$$

$$
\frac{4c_{12}c_{21}}{T\,\Delta\varGamma_{\rm min}} \ll 1,\tag{B.2}
$$

$$
\frac{2c_{21}}{T\,\Delta\varGamma_{\min}} \ll 1,\tag{B.3}
$$

where c_{12} and c_{21} are defined in (I.45) and (I.A.2), respectively.

Now we revert to time t instead of the reduced time τ . That is, we set $T = \tau_0$ in the following, see (I.3). It is clear that the typical observation time will correspond to the lifetime of the 2S states, that is, we can set

$$
T \approx \tau_S. \tag{B.4}
$$

From the definitions (I.28) and (I.45) we then find

$$
c_{12} \cong T \max_{0 \le t \le T} |a_{12}(t)|, \tag{B.5}
$$

$$
|a_{12}(t)| = |(\widetilde{1,t}| \mathbf{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|2,t)|. \tag{B.6}
$$

Now we insert for the state $|1, t\rangle$ the $2S_{1/2}$, for the state $|2, t|$ the $2P_{1/2}$ states. We can then estimate the allowed speed of variation of the electric field using perturbation theory with perturbing term $\mathbf{D} \cdot \delta \mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$ in the mass matrix. We get in this way for constant magnetic field

$$
|2P_{1/2}, \mathcal{E}(t+\delta t), \mathcal{B}) \cong |2P_{1/2}, \mathcal{E}(t), \mathcal{B})
$$

+
$$
\frac{1}{E(2P_{1/2}) - E(2S_{1/2})} |2S_{1/2}, \mathcal{E}(t), \mathcal{B})
$$

$$
\times (2S_{1/2}, \mathcal{E}(t), \mathcal{B}|(-D) \cdot (\mathcal{E}(t+\delta t) - \mathcal{E}(t))
$$

$$
\times |2P_{1/2}, \mathcal{E}(t), \mathcal{B}),
$$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial} |2P_{1/2}, \mathcal{E}(t), \mathcal{B}) \approx \frac{1}{2} |2S_{1/2}, \mathcal{E}(t), \mathcal{B})
$$
 (B.7)

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|2\mathbf{P}_{1/2}, \mathcal{E}(t), \mathcal{B}) \cong \frac{1}{L}|2\mathbf{S}_{1/2}, \mathcal{E}(t), \mathcal{B}) \times (2\mathbf{S}_{1/2}, \widetilde{\mathcal{E}(t)}, \mathcal{B}|\mathbf{D} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(t)}{\partial t}|2\mathbf{P}_{1/2}, \mathcal{E}(t), \mathcal{B}), \quad (B.8)
$$

$$
|a_{12}(t)| \approx \left| \frac{1}{L} (2S_{1/2}, \widetilde{\mathcal{E}(t)}, \mathcal{B}| \mathbf{D} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(t)}{\partial t} | 2P_{1/2}, \mathcal{E}(t), \mathcal{B}) \right|
$$

$$
\approx \frac{e r_B(1)}{L} \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(t)}{\partial t} \right|.
$$
 (B.9)

For hydrogen and deuterium we have (see (3.16) and (3.19) of [7])

$$
\frac{e r_B(1)}{L} \hat{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}\mathcal{E}_0}, \qquad \mathcal{E}_0 = 477.3 \,\text{V/cm.} \tag{B.10}
$$

Thus we get

$$
|a_{12}(t)| \cong \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}\mathcal{E}_0} \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(t)}{\partial t} \right|.
$$
 (B.11)

For the decay rates we have

$$
\Delta\Gamma_{\text{min}} \cong \Gamma_P - \Gamma_S \cong \Gamma_P. \tag{B.12}
$$

Inserting all this in (B.5) and (B.1) leads to the requirement

$$
\frac{2c_{12}}{T \Delta T_{\min}} \approx \frac{1}{\Gamma_P} \max_{t \in [0,T]} \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_0} \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(t)}{\partial t} \right|
$$

$$
= \tau_P \max_{t \in [0,T]} \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_0} \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(t)}{\partial t} \right|
$$

$$
\ll 1.
$$
(B.13)

The same estimate is obtained from (B.3). From (B.2) we get

$$
\frac{4c_{12}c_{21}}{T \Delta\Gamma_{\min}} = T \Delta\Gamma_{\min} \left(\frac{2c_{12}}{T \Delta\Gamma_{\min}}\right) \left(\frac{2c_{21}}{T \Delta\Gamma_{\min}}\right)
$$
\n
$$
\approx \left(\tau_P \max_{t \in [0,T]} \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_0} \left|\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(t)}{\partial t}\right|\right) \left(T \max_{t \in [0,T]} \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_0} \left|\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(t)}{\partial t}\right|\right)
$$
\n
$$
\ll 1. \tag{B.14}
$$

Both conditions, (B.13) and (B.14), are satisfied if

$$
\max_{t \in [0,T]} \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_0} \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(t)}{\partial t} \right| \ll \frac{1}{\tau_P} \tag{B.15}
$$

and

$$
\max_{t \in [0,T]} \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_0} \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(t)}{\partial t} \right| < \frac{1}{T} \cong \frac{1}{\tau_S}.\tag{B.16}
$$

Since we always have $\tau_S \gg \tau_P$ we see that (B.16) already implies (B.15).

Next we want to estimate the allowed rate of change for the magnetic field $\mathcal{B}(t)$. Similarly to (B.9) we can estimate here

$$
|a_{12}(t)| \approx \left| \frac{1}{L} (2S_{1/2}, \widetilde{\mathcal{E}(t)}, \mathcal{B}(t)| \boldsymbol{\mu} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{B}(t)}{\partial t} \times |2P_{1/2}, \mathcal{E}(t), \mathcal{B}(t)) \right|.
$$
 (B.17)

For the free 2S and 2P states — disregarding P violation — the matrix element in (B.17) vanishes. But we are considering states in an electric field where there is Stark mixing. For electric fields satisfying (27), $|\mathcal{E}| \lesssim 250 \,\mathrm{V/cm}$, this mixing is at most $\mathcal{O}(1)$. We shall thus estimate from (B.17)

$$
|a_{12}(t)| \lesssim \frac{\mu_B}{L} \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{B}(t)}{\partial t} \right|.
$$
 (B.18)

In analogy to $(B.10)$ we define \mathcal{B}_0 by

$$
\frac{\mu_B}{L} \cong \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}\,\mathcal{B}_0},\tag{B.19}
$$

which gives

$$
B_0 = 43.65 \,\text{mT}.\tag{B.20}
$$

Repeating the same arguments as made above for the electric field we find the conditions

$$
\max_{t \in [0,T]} \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}_0} \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{B}(t)}{\partial t} \right| \ll \frac{1}{\tau_P} \tag{B.21}
$$

and

$$
\max_{t \in [0,T]} \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}_0} \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{B}(t)}{\partial t} \right| < \frac{1}{T} \cong \frac{1}{\tau_S}.\tag{B.22}
$$

Here again (B.22) implies (B.21) since we have $\tau_s \gg \tau_P$.

We summarise the adiabaticity conditions for the electric and magnetic fields found as follows. The evolution of the — of course mixed — 2S states will decouple from that of the 2P states if the electric field satisfies (27) which guarantees for the lifetimes $\tau_S \gg \tau_P$ and if the rate of change of the electric and magnetic fields normalised to \mathcal{E}_0 and \mathcal{B}_0 , respectively, is smaller than the inverse lifetime τ_S^{-1} of the 2S states.

Appendix C: Geometric phases and flux densities

In this appendix we will give the details of the calculations that lead to the results shown in Sections 3 and 4. In Section C.1 we consider a closed path in parameter space and transform the integral of the geometric phase into a surface-integral using differential form algebra. In Section C.2 we introduce geometric flux densities. In these sections we discuss general properties of geometric phases and, therefore, suppress the PV parameters in the labels of the states etc. In Section C.3 we use perturbation theory to identify the PC and PV contributions to the geometric phase and we derive the geometric flux densities that are used in Section 4 to visualise the geometric phase contributions.

C.1 The geometric phase as surface-integral in parameter space

Let $\mathbf{R} = (R_1, \ldots, R_r)$ be the parameter vectors and C a closed curve in an r -dimensional parameter space \mathcal{R} . Let $\mathcal{M}(R)$ be a — in general non-Hermitian — matrix function over $\mathcal R$ with non-degenerate eigenvalues $E(\alpha, \mathbf R)$ $(\alpha = 1, \ldots, N)$ for all $\mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{C}$.

The Abelian geometric phase (43) in parameter space reads (omitting the labels $\delta_{1,2}$)

$$
\gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathcal{C}) = \oint_{\mathcal{C}} d\mathbf{R} \cdot (\widetilde{\alpha, \mathbf{R}} | \mathbf{i} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} | \alpha, \mathbf{R}), \tag{C.1}
$$

and can be written as an integral over a differential 1-form

$$
\gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbf{i} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} (\widetilde{\alpha, \mathbf{R}} |d| \alpha, \mathbf{R}), \tag{C.2}
$$

where the exterior derivative d is defined as

$$
d = \sum_{i=1}^{r} dR_i \frac{\partial}{\partial R_i}.
$$
 (C.3)

The exterior product (wedge product) of two 1-forms gives a 2-form. The wedge product ∧ is antisymmetric, that is

$$
dR_i \wedge dR_j = -dR_j \wedge dR_i. \tag{C.4}
$$

One can easily prove the following relations

$$
dR_i \wedge dR_i = 0,\tag{C.5}
$$

$$
dd = 0, \qquad \text{(Poincaré lemma).} \tag{C.6}
$$

For an introduction to differential forms see [23]. As was already pointed out by Berry in his original work [24], for a parameter space of dimension $r > 3$ one has to use the generalised Stokes' theorem to transform the integral (C.2) into a surface-integral. The generalised Stokes' theorem gives

$$
\gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbf{i} \oint_{\mathcal{C} = \partial F} (\widetilde{\alpha, \mathbf{R}} |d|\alpha, \mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{i} \int_{\mathcal{F}} d(\widetilde{\alpha, \mathbf{R}} |d|\alpha, \mathbf{R})
$$
(C.7)

where F is an arbitrary surface in parameter space bounded by the curve $\mathcal C$ and lying fully in the regularity domain of $d(\widetilde{\alpha, R}|d|\alpha, R)$. From the Poincaré lemma $(C.6)$ and the asymmetry of the wedge product $(C.4)$ we obtain for the integrand in (C.7)

$$
d(\widetilde{\alpha, R}|d|\alpha, R) = (d(\widetilde{\alpha, R}|)d|\alpha, R)
$$

=
$$
\sum_{\beta} (d(\widetilde{\alpha, R}|) |\beta, R) \wedge (\widetilde{\beta, R}|d|\alpha, R)
$$

=
$$
-\sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} ((\widetilde{\alpha, R}|d|\beta, R)) \wedge (\widetilde{\beta, R}|d|\alpha, R).
$$
 (C.8)

Here we have used the completeness of the eigenstates of *M*(*R*),

$$
\underline{\mathbb{1}} = \sum_{\beta} |\beta, \mathbf{R})(\widetilde{\beta, \mathbf{R}}|, \tag{C.9}
$$

and the following relations, which are easy to prove,

$$
(\widetilde{\alpha, \mathbf{R}}|d|\beta, \mathbf{R}) = -\left(d(\widetilde{\alpha, \mathbf{R}}|\right)|\beta, \mathbf{R}),
$$
\n(C.10)\n
$$
0 = \left((\widetilde{\alpha, \mathbf{R}}|d|\alpha, \mathbf{R})\right) \wedge (\widetilde{\alpha, \mathbf{R}}|d|\alpha, \mathbf{R}).
$$
\n(C.11)

Next we study the matrix elements $(\widetilde{\beta}, \widetilde{R}|d|\alpha, R)$. For each parameter vector *R* we have

$$
\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R})|\alpha, \mathbf{R}) = E(\alpha, \mathbf{R})|\alpha, \mathbf{R}), \quad (C.12)
$$

$$
(\widetilde{\alpha, \mathbf{R}}) \underline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathbf{R}) = (\widetilde{\alpha, \mathbf{R}}) E(\alpha, \mathbf{R}),
$$
 (C.13)

$$
(\alpha = 1, ..., N).
$$

Applying the exterior derivative to (C.12), multiplying from the left with (β, \mathbf{R}) and using the non-degeneracy of eigenvalues (which we supposed) we obtain for $\beta \neq \alpha$

$$
(\widetilde{\beta, R}|d|\alpha, R) = \frac{(d\mathcal{M}(R))_{\beta\alpha}}{E(\alpha, R) - E(\beta, R)}
$$
(C.14)

with the 1-forms

$$
(d_{\mathscr{M}}(\mathbf{R}))_{\beta\alpha} \equiv (\widetilde{\beta, \mathbf{R}} \Big| \Big(d_{\mathscr{M}}(\mathbf{R}) \Big) \Big| \alpha, \mathbf{R}). \tag{C.15}
$$

Using $(C.2)$, $(C.7)$, $(C.8)$ and $(C.14)$ we finally get

$$
\gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathcal{C}) = i \sum_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \beta \neq \alpha}}^N \int_{\mathcal{F}} \frac{(d \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R}))_{\alpha\beta} \wedge (d \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R}))_{\beta\alpha}}{(E(\alpha, \mathbf{R}) - E(\beta, \mathbf{R}))^2},
$$

$$
(\mathcal{C} = \partial F; \ \alpha = 1, ..., N). \tag{C.16}
$$

This is the geometric phase in the case of a non-Hermitian mass matrix, written as a surface-integral in parameter space. The result (C.16) is completely analogous to that in [24] for Hermitian Hamiltonians. However, in the case of a non-Hermitian mass matrix, the geometric phase will in general be complex and therefore contribute to the exponential decay of the corresponding eigenstate.

C.2 Geometric flux densities

The mass matrix $\mathcal{M}(R)$ for an atom in an external electric (\mathcal{E}) and magnetic (\mathcal{B}) field has the form (see $(22)-(24)$)

$$
\underline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathbf{R}) = \underline{\tilde{\mathscr{M}}}_0 - \underline{\mathbf{D}} \cdot \mathbf{\mathcal{E}} - \underline{\mathbf{\mu}} \cdot \mathbf{\mathcal{B}}, \qquad (C.17)
$$

where the parameter vector *R* is

$$
\mathbf{R} = (R_1, \dots, R_6) = (\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{E}_3, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_3). \tag{C.18}
$$

The exterior derivative of the mass matrix (C.17) is

$$
d\underline{\mathscr{M}}(\mathbf{R}) = -\underline{\mathbf{D}} \cdot d\mathbf{\mathcal{E}} - \underline{\mathbf{\mu}} \cdot d\mathbf{\mathcal{B}}.
$$
 (C.19)

Thus, the matrix elements (C.15) of $d\mathcal{M}(R)$ are

$$
(d_{\mathscr{M}}(R))_{\alpha\beta} = (\alpha, R|(d_{\mathscr{M}})|\beta, R)
$$

= -(\alpha, R|\mathbf{D}|\beta, R) \cdot d\mathcal{E} - (\alpha, R|\mathbf{\mu}|\beta, R) \cdot d\mathcal{B}
= -\mathbf{D}_{\alpha\beta}(R) \cdot d\mathcal{E} - \mathbf{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}(R) \cdot d\mathcal{B}. (C.20)

The 2-form occurring in (C.16) is

$$
(d_{\mathscr{M}}(\mathbf{R}))_{\alpha\beta} \wedge (d_{\mathscr{M}}(\mathbf{R}))_{\beta\alpha} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \left(\underline{D}_{i,\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}) d\mathcal{E}_{i} + \underline{\mu}_{i,\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}) d\mathcal{B}_{i} \right) \wedge \left(\underline{D}_{j,\beta\alpha}(\mathbf{R}) d\mathcal{E}_{j} + \underline{\mu}_{j,\beta\alpha}(\mathbf{R}) d\mathcal{B}_{j} \right). \quad (C.21)
$$

Expanding the wedge product in $(C.21)$ and using $(C.4)$ leads to three different contributions, proportional to $d\mathcal{E}_i\wedge$ $d\mathcal{E}_j$, $d\mathcal{B}_i \wedge d\mathcal{B}_j$ and $d\mathcal{E}_i \wedge d\mathcal{B}_j$, respectively. The geometric phase (C.16) can then be written in the form

$$
\gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathcal{C}) = \int_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E})}(R) + \int_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{B})}(R) + \int_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B})}(R)
$$
(C.22)

with the 2-forms

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E})}(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \frac{\underline{D}_{i,\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}) \underline{D}_{j,\beta\alpha}(\mathbf{R}) - (i \leftrightarrow j)}{\left(E(\alpha, \mathbf{R}) - E(\beta, \mathbf{R})\right)^2} \times d\mathcal{E}_i \wedge d\mathcal{E}_j,
$$
\n(C.23)

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{B})}(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \frac{\mu_{i,\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}) \mu_{j,\beta\alpha}(\mathbf{R}) - (i \leftrightarrow j)}{\left(E(\alpha, \mathbf{R}) - E(\beta, \mathbf{R}) \right)^2} \times dB_i \wedge dB_j, \tag{C.24}
$$

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B})}(R) = i \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \frac{D_{i,\alpha\beta}(R) \underline{\mu}_{j,\beta\alpha}(R) - \underline{\mu}_{j,\alpha\beta}(R) \underline{D}_{i,\beta\alpha}(R)}{(E(\alpha, R) - E(\beta, R))^{2}} \times d\mathcal{E}_{i} \wedge d\mathcal{B}_{j}.
$$
\n(C.25)

For general external field configurations, the geometric phase (C.22) will get non-vanishing contributions from all three surface-integrals.

Consider now a closed curve $\mathcal C$ in parameter space with constant magnetic field. If it is not prevented by singularities of the integrand we can choose also $\mathcal F$ to correspond to this constant magnetic field. Then only the integral over $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E})}(R)$ will be different from zero. The 2-form $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E})}(R)$ (C.23) is a product of two antisymmetric tensors. Introducing

$$
df_{\ell}^{(\mathcal{E})} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{ij\ell} d\mathcal{E}_{i} \wedge d\mathcal{E}_{j},
$$
\n(C.26)\n
$$
\mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{E})}_{\ell,\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{R}) =
$$
\ni $\sum_{i}^{3} \left(\sum_{i} \underline{D}_{i,\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}) \underline{D}_{j,\beta\alpha}(\mathbf{R}) - (i \leftrightarrow j) \right)$

$$
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1} \varepsilon_{ij\ell} \left(\sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{\underline{D}_{i,\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{A}) \underline{D}_{j,\beta\alpha}(\mathbf{A}) - (\ell \leftrightarrow j)}{\left(E(\alpha, \mathbf{R}) - E(\beta, \mathbf{R}) \right)^2} \right),
$$
\n(C.27)\n
$$
(\ell = 1, 2, 3)
$$

we can write (C.23) as a scalar product,

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E})}(\mathbf{R}) = \mathcal{J}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E})}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot d\mathbf{f}^{(\mathcal{E})} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \mathcal{J}_{\ell,\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E})}(\mathbf{R}) d f_{\ell}^{(\mathcal{E})},
$$
 (C.28)

and obtain from (C.22)

$$
\gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathcal{C}) = \int_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E})}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot d\mathbf{f}^{(\mathcal{E})}.
$$
 (C.29)

Obviously, $df^{(\mathcal{E})}$ (C.26) is the oriented surface element in the 3-dimensional space of electric field strengths and $J_{\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E})}(R)$ can be interpreted as a geometric flux density field in the space of electric field strengths. The geometric phase for a given closed path C in the space of electric field strengths is given by the flux of the vector field $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E})}(R)$ through $\mathcal C$. The graphical visualisation of the flux density field (C.27) turns out to be a very useful tool for choosing closed curves $\mathcal C$ in parameter space with desired properties, for instance minimising the decay rate for the metastable states along with maximising the geometric phase.

For a closed curve $\mathcal C$ in parameter space with constant electric field the geometric phase $\gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathcal{C})$ can be implemented in a similar way. We only have to replace in (C.26) to (C.29) $\mathcal E$ by $\mathcal B$, the 2-form $\mathcal I_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal E)}(\mathcal R)$ by the 2-form $I_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{B})}(R)$, and $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ by μ . For general external field configurations where both $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ vary we still can define the flux density fields $\mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{E})}_{\alpha\alpha}(R)$ and $\mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{B})}_{\alpha\alpha}(R)$ and write the geometric phase (C.22) as

$$
\gamma_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathcal{C}) = \int_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E})}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot d\mathbf{f}^{(\mathcal{E})} + \int_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{B})}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot d\mathbf{f}^{(\mathcal{B})} + \int_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B})}(\mathbf{R})
$$
(C.30)

with $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B})}(R)$ from (C.25). However, visualisation in a single three dimensional parameter space is no longer possible.

C.3 P-conserving and P-violating flux densities

In this section we discuss the PC and PV contributions to the flux densities and geometric phases. Therefore, the dependence of quantities on the PV parameters is kept explicitly in this section. The mass matrix for the atom in external fields and including P-violation is given in (22). For ease of notation we define

$$
\delta = (\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2)^{1/2}, \tag{C.31}
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\rm PV} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{\delta_i}{\delta} \mathcal{M}_{\rm PV}^{(i)},\tag{C.32}
$$

where $\delta_{1,2}$ are given in (19) to (21). We can now write (22) and (C.17) in the form

$$
\underline{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}_0(\delta) = \underline{\mathcal{M}}_0 + \delta \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{PV}},\tag{C.33}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{P}, \delta) = \mathcal{M} + \delta \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{PV}},\quad \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{S} = \mathcal{M}, \mathbf{P} = \mathcal{M}.
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R}, \delta) = \mathcal{M}_0 + \delta \mathcal{M}_{\text{PV}} - \underline{\mathbf{D}} \cdot \mathbf{\mathcal{E}} - \underline{\mathbf{\mu}} \cdot \mathbf{\mathcal{B}} \qquad \text{(C.34)}
$$

where \mathcal{M}_0 is the mass matrix for zero external fields and no PV contribution. We use perturbation theory to obtain a power series expansion in the small parameter δ for the eigenstates of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R}, \delta)$. The details of the formalism which applies to non-Hermitian mass matrices can be found in [7], Appendix C and [16], Appendix C.

The perturbation series expansion of the eigenvalues of $\mathscr{M}(R,\delta)$ reads

$$
E(\alpha, \mathbf{R}, \delta) = E(\alpha^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2). \tag{C.35}
$$

There are no δ -linear terms in these eigenvalues due to time reversal symmetry invariance, see Section 1.3 in [16]. The perturbation series expansion for the left and right eigenvectors of $\mathcal{M}(R,\delta)$ reads

$$
|\alpha, \mathbf{R}, \delta) = |\alpha^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}) + \delta |\alpha^{(1)}, \mathbf{R}) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2), \quad (C.36)
$$

$$
|\alpha^{(1)}, \mathbf{R}) = \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} |\beta^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}) \frac{\mathscr{M}_{\text{PV}, \beta \alpha}(\mathbf{R})}{E(\alpha^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}) - E(\beta^{(0)}, \mathbf{R})},
$$
(C.37)

$$
(\widetilde{\alpha, \mathbf{R}, \delta}| = (\widetilde{\alpha^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}}| + \delta(\widetilde{\alpha^{(1)}, \mathbf{R}}| + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)),
$$
 (C.38)

$$
(\widetilde{\alpha^{(1)},R}) = \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{\underline{\mathscr{M}}_{\text{PV},\alpha\beta}(R)}{E(\alpha^{(0)},R) - E(\beta^{(0)},R)} (\widetilde{\beta^{(0)},R}).
$$
\n(C.39)

In zeroth order we are left with the eigenstates $|\alpha^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}\rangle$ of the mass matrix $\mathcal{M}(R, 0)$ of (C.34). In first order contributions proportional to the matrix elements of \mathcal{M}_{PV} occur which are defined as

$$
\underline{\mathscr{M}}_{\mathrm{PV},\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{R}) = (\widetilde{\alpha^{(0)},\boldsymbol{R}} | \underline{\mathscr{M}}_{\mathrm{PV}} | \beta^{(0)},\boldsymbol{R}).
$$
 (C.40)

The geometric flux density (C.27) which has PC and PV contributions, is given by

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E})}(\mathbf{R},\delta) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{ij\ell} \left(\sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{D_{i,\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R},\delta) D_{j,\beta\alpha}(\mathbf{R},\delta) - (i \leftrightarrow j)}{\left(E(\alpha^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}) - E(\beta^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}) \right)^2} \right) \tag{C.41}
$$

 $(\ell = 1, 2, 3)$. The matrix elements of the dipole operator *D* in (C.41) are

$$
\underline{\mathbf{D}}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R},\delta) = (\widetilde{\alpha,\mathbf{R},\delta}|\underline{\mathbf{D}}|\beta,\mathbf{R},\delta) \tag{C.42}
$$

and by using $(C.36)$ – $(C.40)$ we obtain, to first order in δ ,

$$
\underline{\mathbf{D}}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R},\delta) = \underline{\mathbf{D}}_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{PC}}(\mathbf{R}) + \delta \underline{\mathbf{D}}_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{PV}}(\mathbf{R}),
$$
\n(C.43)

$$
\underline{\boldsymbol{D}}_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{PC}}(\boldsymbol{R}) = (\widetilde{\alpha^{(0)},\boldsymbol{R}}|\underline{\boldsymbol{D}}|\beta^{(0)},\boldsymbol{R}),\tag{C.44}
$$

$$
\underline{\mathbf{D}}_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{PV}}(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{\gamma \neq \alpha} \frac{\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{PV},\alpha\gamma}(\mathbf{R}) \underline{\mathbf{D}}_{\gamma\beta}^{\text{PC}}(\mathbf{R})}{E(\alpha^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}) - E(\gamma^{(0)}, \mathbf{R})} + \sum_{\gamma \neq \beta} \frac{\underline{\mathbf{D}}_{\alpha\gamma}^{\text{PC}}(\mathbf{R}) \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{PV},\gamma\beta}(\mathbf{R})}{E(\beta^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}) - E(\gamma^{(0)}, \mathbf{R})}.
$$
(C.45)

Using (C.43) to (C.45), we are able to separate the PC and PV contributions to the geometric flux density (C.41) and find

$$
\mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{E})}_{\ell,\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{R},\delta) = \mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{E},\text{PC})}_{\ell,\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{R}) + \delta \mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{E},\text{PV})}_{\ell,\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{R}),\qquad(0.46)
$$

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E},\text{PC})}(\boldsymbol{R}) = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{ij\ell} \left(\sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{\underline{D}_{i,\alpha\beta}^{\text{PC}}(\boldsymbol{R}) \underline{D}_{j,\beta\alpha}^{\text{PC}}(\boldsymbol{R}) - (i \leftrightarrow j)}{\left(E(\alpha^{(0)},\boldsymbol{R}) - E(\beta^{(0)},\boldsymbol{R}) \right)^2} \right),\tag{C.47}
$$

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E},\text{PV})}(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{ij\ell}
$$

$$
\times \left(\sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{\underline{D}_{i,\alpha\beta}^{\text{PC}}(\mathbf{R}) \underline{D}_{j,\beta\alpha}^{\text{PV}}(\mathbf{R}) + \underline{D}_{i,\alpha\beta}^{\text{PV}}(\mathbf{R}) \underline{D}_{j,\beta\alpha}^{\text{PC}}(\mathbf{R})}{\left(E(\alpha^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}) - E(\beta^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}) \right)^2} - (i \leftrightarrow j) \right). \quad (\text{C.48})
$$

The corresponding results for the flux density field $J_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{B})}(R,\delta)$ follow from straightforward substitution of \mathcal{E} by \mathcal{B} and \underline{D} by μ in (C.41) to (C.48).

We will now investigate the PC and PV character of the geometric flux densities (C.47) and (C.48). We define the quasiprojectors for the mass matrix $M(R, 0) =$ $M(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}, 0)$ (C.34) as

$$
\underline{\mathbb{P}}_{\alpha}^{(0)}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) = |\alpha^{(0)}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})(\alpha^{(0)}, \widetilde{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}}).
$$
 (C.49)

Here and in the following we write out *R* as $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})$, see (40). With the completeness relation

$$
\underline{\mathbb{1}} = \sum_{\alpha} \underline{\mathbb{P}}_{\alpha}^{(0)}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})
$$
 (C.50)

we obtain from (C.47) and (C.44)

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\alpha\alpha}^{(\mathcal{E},\text{PC})}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{ij\ell} \text{Tr} \left[\underline{D}_{i} \times \left(\sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{\underline{\mathbb{P}}_{\beta}^{(0)}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B})}{\left(E(\alpha^{(0)}, \mathcal{E},\mathcal{B}) - E(\beta^{(0)}, \mathcal{E},\mathcal{B}) \right)^{2}} \right) \times \underline{D}_{j} \underline{\mathbb{P}}_{\alpha}^{(0)}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B}) - (i \leftrightarrow j) \right].
$$
\n(C.51)

Consider now the parity transformation operator P defined by

$$
P: \quad x \longrightarrow -x \tag{C.52}
$$

and its matrix representation $\underline{\mathcal{P}}$ in the $(n = 2)$ subspace of atomic states,

$$
\underline{\mathcal{P}}[2L_J, F, F_3) = (-1)^L |2L_J, F, F_3). \tag{C.53}
$$

With \mathcal{P} we have

$$
\underline{\mathcal{P}}\,\underline{\mathbf{x}}\,\underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\dagger} = -\underline{\mathbf{x}},\tag{C.54}
$$

$$
\underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\dagger}\underline{\mathcal{P}} = \underline{\mathbb{1}},\tag{C.55}
$$

$$
\underline{\mathcal{P}} \underline{\mathcal{D}} \underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\dagger} = -\underline{\mathcal{D}},\tag{C.56}
$$

$$
\underline{\mathcal{P}} \underline{\mu} \underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\dagger} = \underline{\mu}.
$$
 (C.57)

Thus, the mass matrix (C.34) satisfies for $\delta = 0$

$$
\underline{\mathcal{P}}\underline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B},0)\underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\dagger} = \underline{\mathcal{P}}\left(\underline{\mathcal{M}}_0 - \underline{\mathbf{D}} \cdot \mathcal{E} - \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \cdot \mathcal{B}\right)\underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\dagger} \n= \underline{\mathcal{M}}(-\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B},0).
$$
\n(C.58)

We will now use an argumentation analogous to the one in [7], following equation (3.43). Assuming non-degenerate eigenvalues of the mass matrix for the considered field configuration, the quasiprojectors (C.49) are the residues of the poles of the resolvent

$$
\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}, 0) - \xi \underline{\mathbb{1}}} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\underline{\mathbb{P}}_{\alpha}^{(0)}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})}{E(\alpha^{(0)}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) - \xi}, \qquad (C.59)
$$

where ξ is an arbitrary complex parameter. Applying the parity transformation to the left hand side of (C.59) we obtain with (C.58)

$$
\mathcal{P}\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}, 0) - \xi \underline{\mathbb{1}}} \mathcal{P}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(-\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}, 0) - \xi \underline{\mathbb{1}}}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\underline{\mathbb{P}}_{\alpha}^{(0)}(-\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})}{E(\alpha^{(0)}, -\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) - \xi}.
$$
\n(C.60)

Of course this must be equal to the parity transformation of the right hand side of (C.59), and we get

$$
\mathcal{P}\sum_{\alpha}\frac{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{(0)}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B})}{E(\alpha^{(0)},\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B})-\xi}\mathcal{P}^{\dagger}=\sum_{\alpha}\frac{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^{(0)}(-\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B})}{E(\alpha^{(0)},-\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B})-\xi}.
$$
\n(C.61)

Clearly, with our choice of \mathcal{B} (see $(A.1)$) and our numbering scheme, Table 4, the states $\alpha^{(0)}$, $\mathcal{E} = 0$, **B**) are just the states $|2L_J, F, F_3, \mathcal{E} = 0, \mathcal{B} \rangle$ which are eigenstates of P with eigenvalue $(-1)^L$. Turning on the electric field we find from continuity arguments that with the numbering scheme of Table 4 we have

$$
E(\alpha^{(0)}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) = E(\alpha^{(0)}, -\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}), \tag{C.62}
$$

$$
\underline{\mathcal{P}} \underline{\mathbb{P}}_{\alpha}^{(0)}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) \underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\dagger} = \underline{\mathbb{P}}_{\alpha}^{(0)}(-\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}). \tag{C.63}
$$

By inserting the identity (C.55) between each dipole operator and quasiprojector in (C.51) and by using (C.56), $(C.62)$ and $(C.63)$ we find

$$
\mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{E},PC)}_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B})=\mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{E},PC)}_{\alpha\alpha}(-\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B}).
$$
 (C.64)

Thus, the PC geometric flux fields are indeed invariant under parity transformation of the external fields,

$$
P: (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow (-\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}). \qquad (C.65)
$$

For the transformation properties of the PV geometric flux density fields we use

$$
\underline{\mathcal{P}} \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\rm PV} \underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\dagger} = -\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\rm PV} \tag{C.66}
$$

and find with the same methods as for the PC flux density fields the result

$$
\mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{E}, \text{PV})}_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) = -\mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{E}, \text{PV})}_{\alpha\alpha}(-\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}).
$$
 (C.67)

Similar relations can be derived easily for the flux densities in magnetic field space,

$$
\mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{B},PC)}_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B})=\mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{B},PC)}_{\alpha\alpha}(-\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B}),
$$
 (C.68)

$$
\mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{B},\text{PV})}_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B}) = -\mathcal{J}^{(\mathcal{B},\text{PV})}_{\alpha\alpha}(-\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B}).
$$
 (C.69)

Finally, we consider the matrix elements of the time derivative

$$
\underline{\mathscr{D}}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}(t),\delta) = (\alpha, \widetilde{\mathbf{R}(t)}, \delta | \frac{\partial}{\partial t} | \beta, \mathbf{R}(t), \delta), \quad (\text{C.70})
$$

see (44) . Inserting the expansions $(C.36)$ and $(C.38)$ we get

$$
\underline{\mathscr{D}}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}(t),\delta) = \underline{\mathscr{D}}_{\text{PC},\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}(t)) + \delta \underline{\mathscr{D}}_{\text{PV},\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}(t)) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2),
$$
\n(C.71)

where

$$
\underline{\mathscr{D}}_{\text{PC},\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}(t)) = (\alpha^{(0)}, \widetilde{\mathbf{R}}(t)| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} | \beta^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}(t)), \qquad \text{(C.72)}
$$

$$
\underline{\mathscr{D}}_{\text{PV},\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}(t)) = (\alpha^{(1)}, \widetilde{\mathbf{R}}(t)| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} | \beta^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}(t)) + (\alpha^{(0)}, \widetilde{\mathbf{R}}(t)| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} | \beta^{(1)}, \mathbf{R}(t)).
$$
 (C.73)

Now we consider $\alpha = \beta$. We have from (C.37) for all t

$$
(\widetilde{\alpha^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}}(t)|\alpha^{(1)}, \mathbf{R}(t)) = 0
$$
 (C.74)

which implies

$$
(\alpha^{(0)}, \widetilde{R}(t)|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\alpha^{(1)}, R(t)) + (\alpha^{(0)}, \widetilde{R}(t)|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\alpha^{(1)}, R(t)) = 0.
$$
\n(C.75)

Inserting this in (C.73) for $\alpha = \beta$ and using (C.37) and (C.39) we get

$$
\underline{\mathscr{D}}_{\text{PV},\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{R}(t)) = \sum_{\gamma \neq \alpha} \frac{1}{E(\alpha^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}(t)) - E(\gamma^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}(t))}
$$

$$
\times \left\{ \underline{\mathscr{M}}_{\text{PV},\alpha\gamma}(\mathbf{R}(t))(\gamma^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}(t)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\alpha^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}(t)) - (\alpha^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}(t)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\gamma^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}(t)) \underline{\mathscr{M}}_{\text{PV},\gamma\alpha}(\mathbf{R}(t)) \right\}. \quad (C.76)
$$

From

$$
(\widetilde{\alpha^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}}(t) | \gamma^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}(t)) = \delta_{\alpha \gamma}
$$
 (C.77)

we get

$$
(\alpha^{(0)}, \widetilde{R}(t)|\overleftarrow{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}|\gamma^{(0)}, R(t)) + (\alpha^{(0)}, \widetilde{R}(t)|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\gamma^{(0)}, R(t)) = 0.
$$
\n(C.78)

Inserting this in (C.76) and using (C.72) leads to

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{\text{PV},\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{R}(t)) = \sum_{\gamma \neq \alpha} \frac{1}{E(\alpha^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}(t)) - E(\gamma^{(0)}, \mathbf{R}(t))}
$$

$$
\times \left\{ \underbrace{\mathcal{M}_{\text{PV},\alpha\gamma}(\mathbf{R}(t)) \mathcal{Q}_{\text{PC},\gamma\alpha}(\mathbf{R}(t))}_{+ \underbrace{\mathcal{Q}_{\text{PC},\alpha\gamma}(\mathbf{R}(t)) \mathcal{M}_{\text{PV},\gamma\alpha}(\mathbf{R}(t))}_{\text{(C.79)}} \right\}.
$$

Splitting up $\delta \mathcal{D}_{PV,\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{R}(t))$ into the contributions proportional to δ_1 and δ_2 we write

$$
\delta \underline{\mathscr{D}}_{\text{PV},\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{R}(t)) = \delta_1 \underline{\mathscr{D}}_{\text{PV},\alpha\alpha}^{(1)}(\mathbf{R}(t)) + \delta_2 \underline{\mathscr{D}}_{\text{PV},\alpha\alpha}^{(2)}(\mathbf{R}(t)).
$$
\n(C.80)

Inserting here (C.79) leads to the results (48) and (49).

References

- 1. T. Bergmann, T. Gasenzer, O. Nachtmann, Eur. Phys. J. D **45**, 197 (2007)
- 2. M. DeKieviet, D. Dubbers, C. Schmidt, D. Scholz, U. Spinola, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1919 (1995)
- 3. S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 1264 (1967)
- 4. A. Salam, in Proceedings of the Eighth Nobel Symposium on Elementary Particle Theory, edited by N. Svartholm (Almquist and Wiskell, Stockholm, 1968)
- 5. S. Glashow, L. Iliopoulos, L. Maiani, Phys. Rev. D **2**, 1285 (1970)
- 6. O. Nachtmann, Elementary Particle Physics, Concepts and Phenomena (Springer, Berlin, 1990)
- 7. G.W. Botz, D. Bruss, O. Nachtmann, Ann. Phys. (NY) **240**, 107 (1995); arXiv:hep-ph/9406222
- 8. J. Guena, M. Lintz, M. Bouchiat, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **20**, 375 (2005)
- 9. W.M. Yao et al. (Particle Data Group), J. Phys. G **33**, 1 (2006)
- 10. A. Airapetian et al. (HERMES), Phys. Rev. D **75**, 012007 (2007)
- 11. S.D. Bass, Rev. Mod. Phys. **77**, 1257 (2005); arXiv:hep-ph/0411005
- 12. E. Aschenauer, I. Flegel, CERN Cour. **46N3**, 26 (2006)
- 13. F. Bradamante, A. Magnon, G. Mallot, CERN Cour. **46N6**, 15 (2006)
- 14. L.N. Labzowsky, A.V. Shonin, D.A. Solovyev, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **38**, 265 (2005)
- 15. J. Sapirstein, K. Pachucki, K.T. Cheng, Phys. Rev. A **69**, 022113 (2004)
- 16. D. Bruss, T. Gasenzer, O. Nachtmann, Eur. Phys. J. direct D **2**, 1 (1999); arXiv:hep-ph/9802317
- 17. http://www.thphys.uni-heidelberg.de/bergmann/ fluxdensities.pdf
- 18. T. Gasenzer, Ph.D. thesis, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität, Heidelberg (1998), (unpublished)
- 19. H.E. Jackson (HERMES), AIP Conf. Proc. **842**, 363 (2006); arXiv:hep-ex/0601006
- 20. U. Jentschura, S. Kotochigova, E. LeBigot, P. Mohr, B. Taylor, The energy levels of hydrogen and deuterium (version 2.1), National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD (2005), http://physics.nist.gov/HDEL
- 21. S.G. Karshenboim, Phys. Rep. **422**, 1 (2005); arXiv:hep-ph/0509010
- 22. J. Erler, M.J. Ramsey-Musolf, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 073003 (2005)
- 23. H. Flanders, Differential Forms, Vol. 11 of Mathematics in Science and Engineering (Academic Press, New York, 1963)
- 24. M.V. Berry, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **392**, 45 (1984)

Supplementary Online Material

In this supplement we give the expressions for the mass matrices at zero external fields, and for the electric and the magnetic dipole operators.

Appendix A: Values for quantities related to n = 2 hydrogen and deuterium

Tables 5, 6 and 7 show the non-zero parts of the mass matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{M}_0}$ for zero external fields, of the electric dipole operator \underline{D} and of the magnetic dipole operator μ for the $n = 2$ states of hydrogen.

In Tables 6 and 7 we use the spherical unit vectors, which are defined as

$$
e_0 = e_3,
$$
 $e_{\pm} = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e_1 \pm i e_2),$ (A.1)

where e_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are the Cartesian unit vectors. For e_{\pm} , the following relation holds:

$$
e_{\pm}^* = -e_{\mp}.\tag{A.2}
$$

Tables 8, 9 and 10 show the mass matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_0$ for zero external fields, the electric dipole operator \underline{D} and the magnetic dipole operator μ for the $n = 2$ states of deuterium.

References

1. P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor, Rev. Mod. Phys. **77**, 1 (2005)

Table 5. The mass matrix $\mathcal{M}_{0}(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2})$ (24) for the $n = 2$ states of hydrogen for the case of zero external fields. For the explanation of the variables Δ , L and A and their numerical values see the introduction of this appendix and Table 3. The PV parameters $\delta_{1,2}$ can also be found in Table 3, the decay rates $\Gamma_{P,S}$ are given in (25) and (26).

	$2P_{3/2}, 2, 0$	$2P_{3/2}, 1, 0$	$2P_{1/2}, 1, 0$	$2S_{1/2}, 1, 0$	$2P_{1/2}, 0, 0$	$2P_{3/2}, 0, 0$
$2\mathrm{P}_{3/2}, 2, 0$	$\frac{\Delta + \frac{\mathcal{A}}{160}}{-\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_P}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$2P_{3/2}, 1, 0$	$\overline{0}$	$\frac{\Delta - \frac{A}{96}}{-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_P}$	$-\frac{A}{192\sqrt{2}}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
$2\mathrm{P}_{1/2},1,0$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\frac{A}{192\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{\mathcal{A}}{96} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \Gamma_P$	$i\delta_1 L$ $+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\delta_2 L$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$2S_{1/2}, 1, 0$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-i\delta_1L$ $-\frac{i}{2}\delta_2L$	$\frac{L+\frac{A}{32}}{-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_S}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
$2P_{1/2}, 0, 0$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$-\frac{\mathcal{A}}{32}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\varGamma_P$	$\mathrm{i}\delta_1 L$ $+\frac{3}{2}i\delta_2L$
$2S_{1/2}, 0, 0$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-i\delta_1L$ $-\frac{3}{2}i\delta_2L$	$L-\frac{3\mathcal{A}}{32}$ $-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_S$

Table 5b.

	$2P_{3/2}, 2, -1$	$2P_{3/2}, 1, -1$	$2P_{1/2}, 1, -1$	$2S_{1/2}, 1, -1$	$2P_{3/2}, 2, -2$
$2\mathrm{P}_{3/2}, 2, -1$	$\frac{\Delta + \frac{A}{160}}{-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_P}$	Ω	$\overline{0}$	θ	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$2\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{3/2}}, 1, -1$	$\overline{0}$	$\Delta - \frac{\mathcal{A}}{96}$ $-\frac{1}{2}\overline{\Gamma_P}$	$-\frac{\mathcal{A}}{192\sqrt{2}}$		$\boldsymbol{0}$
$2\mathrm{P}_{1/2}, 1, -1$	$\overline{0}$	$-\frac{\mathcal{A}}{192\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{\mathcal{A}}{96} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \Gamma_P$	$i\delta_1 L$ $+\frac{1}{2}\delta_2L$	$\overline{0}$
$2S_{1/2}, 1, -1$	$\overline{0}$		$-i\delta_1L$ $-\frac{1}{2}\delta_2L$	$L+\frac{\mathcal{A}}{32}$ $-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_S$	$\overline{0}$
$2P_{3/2}, 2, -2$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	Ω	0	$\overline{0}$	$\Delta + \frac{A}{160}$ $-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\varGamma_P$

Table 6. The suitably normalised electric dipole operator $D/(er_B(1))$ for the $n = 2$ states of hydrogen.

	$2P_{3/2}, 2, 0$	$2P_{3/2}, 1, 0$	$2P_{1/2}, 1, 0$	$2S_{1/2}, 1, 0$	$2P_{1/2}, 0, 0$	$2S_{1/2}, 0, 0$
$2P_{3/2}, 2, 1$						
$2P_{3/2}, 1, 1$				$\frac{8}{2}e$		$-\sqrt{6}e_-$
$2P_{1/2}, 1, 1$				$-\sqrt{3}e_-$		$\sqrt{3}\boldsymbol{e}_{-}$
$2S_{1/2}, 1, 1$	$rac{3}{2}$ e_	$\frac{9}{2}e$ $-$ _A	$-\sqrt{3}e_-$		$\sqrt{3}\boldsymbol{e}_{-}$	

Table 6b.

		Table 6e.		
	$2P_{3/2}, 2, -1$	$2P_{3/2}, 1, -1$	$2P_{1/2}, 1, -1$	$2S_{1/2}, 1, -1$
$2P_{3/2}, 2, 0$				$rac{3}{2}e_$
$2P_{3/2}, 1, 0$				$rac{3}{2}e_-\$
$2P_{1/2}, 1, 0$				$-\sqrt{3}e_-$
$2S_{1/2}, 1, 0$	$\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}e$	$\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}$ e	$-\sqrt{3}e$	
$2P_{1/2}, 0, 0$				$-\sqrt{3}\boldsymbol{e}_-$
$2S_{1/2}, 0, 0$		$\sqrt{6}e_-$	$-\sqrt{3}\bm{e}_-$	

Table 6f.

	$2P_{3/2}, 2, 0$	$2P_{3/2}, 1, 0$	$2P_{1/2}, 1, 0$	$2S_{1/2}, 1, 0$	$2P_{1/2}, 0, 0$	$2S_{1/2}, 0, 0$
$2P_{3/2}, 2, -1$						
$2P_{3/2}, 1, -1$				$\frac{5}{2}e_+$		$-\sqrt{6}e_+$
$2P_{1/2}, 1, -1$				$\sqrt{3e_+}$		$\sqrt{3}\boldsymbol{e}_{\dashv}$
$2S_{1/2}, 1, -1$	$\frac{8}{2}e_+$	$\breve{\mathbf{e}}$	$\sqrt{3}\boldsymbol{e}_\pm$		\vee 3 e_\pm	

Table 6g.

 $2P_{1/2}, 1, 1$
 $2S_{1/2}, 1, 1$

 $\frac{\sqrt{2}(g-1)}{6}e^{-}$ −

Table 7. The suitably normalised magnetic dipole operator μ/μ for the n = 2 states of hydrogen, where $\mu_B = e\hbar/(2m_e)$ is the Bohr magneton and $g = 2.002319304(76)$ is the Landé factor of the electron [1].

Table 7a.									
	$2P_{3/2}, 2, 2$	$2P_{3/2}, 2, 1$	$2P_{3/2}, 1, 1$	$2P_{1/2}$,	$2S_{1/2}$,				
$2P_{3/2}, 2, 2$	$\frac{g+2}{2}e_0$								
$2P_{3/2}, 2, 1$		$\frac{g+2}{4}$ e ₀	$\frac{9+2}{1+2}e_0$	$\frac{g-1}{\sqrt{6}}\bm{e}_0$					
$2P_{3/2}, 1, 1$		$\frac{12}{10}$ e ₀	$^{5(g+2)}e_0$	$\frac{1}{2}e_0$					
$2P_{1/2}, 1, 1$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}e$	\bar{e} e –	$\frac{-1}{3\sqrt{2}}$ e ₀	$\frac{1}{6}$ e ₀					
$2S_{1/2}$,					∙e∩				

			Table 7b.			
	$2P_{3/2}, 2, 0$	$2P_{3/2}, 1, 0$	$2P_{1/2}, 1, 0$	$2S_{1/2}, 1, 0$	$2P_{1/2}, 0, 0$	$2S_{1/2}$, 0, 0
$2P_{3/2}, 2, 1$	c –					
$2\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{3/2}},$ 1. \cdot						
ഹ	$\sqrt{2}(q-1)$	$\sqrt{2(g-1)}$	$q - 4$		$q-4$	

Table 7c. $\frac{2P_{3/2}, 2, 1 \qquad 2P_{3/2}, 1, 1 \qquad 2P_{1/2}, 1, 1 \qquad 2S_{1/2}, 1, 1}{\sqrt{3}(q+2)}e_+ \qquad \frac{q+2}{12}e_+ \qquad -\frac{\sqrt{2}(q-1)}{e}e_+ \qquad 0}$ $2P_{3/2}, 2, 0$ $\frac{\sqrt{3}(g+2)}{4}$ e₊ $\frac{g+2}{12}$ $\frac{g+2}{12}e_+$ $\frac{\sqrt{2}(g)}{6}$ $\frac{1}{e^{-(1)} \cdot e^+}$ 0
 $\frac{1}{e^{-(1)} \cdot e^+}$ 0 $2P_{3/2}, 1, 0$ $-\frac{g+2}{4\sqrt{3}}e$
 $2P_{1/2}, 1, 0$ $\frac{g-1}{\sqrt{6}}e_+$ $\frac{g+2}{4\sqrt{3}}e_+$ $\frac{+2}{\sqrt{3}}e_+$ $\frac{5(g+2)}{12}e_+$ $\frac{\sqrt{2}(g-1)}{6}$ **e**+ 0 $\begin{array}{c|c} 2P_{1/2}, 1, 0 & \frac{g-1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ 2S_{1/2}, 1, 0 & 0 \end{array}$ $\frac{\sqrt{2}(g-1)}{6}$ e₊ $-\frac{g-4}{6}$ $\frac{g-4}{6}$ **e** + 0
0 $\frac{g}{6}$ **e**. $\begin{array}{c|c}\n 2\text{S}_{1/2}, 1, 0 \\
 \hline\n 2\text{P}_{1/2}, 0, 0\n \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c}\n 0 \\
 0\n \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c}\n 0 \\
 \sqrt{2}(g-1) \\
 \theta + \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c}\n 0 \\
 \frac{g-4}{2}e_+ \\
 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c}\n \frac{g}{2}e_+ \\
 \hline\n 0\n \end{array}$ $\frac{g}{2}$ e_+ $\begin{array}{c|c} 2\text{P}_{1/2}, 0, 0 & 0 \\ 2\text{S}_{1/2}, 0, 0 & 0 \end{array}$ $\frac{\sqrt{2}(g-1)}{3}e_+$ $\frac{g-4}{6}$ $\frac{g-4}{6}e_+$ $2S_{1/2}, 0, 0$ 0 0 $\frac{g}{2}e$

⁶ *^e*[−] ^g−⁴ $\frac{-4}{6}$ **e**⁻⁴ **e**⁻⁴ $2S_{1/2}, 1, 1 \parallel 0$ 0 0 $-\frac{q}{2}$

⁻⁴⁶^e− 0

² *e*[−]

<u>⁹</u>₂e_− 0 <u>9</u>^{⁹₂}

Table 7d.

	$2P_{3/2}, 2, 0$	$2P_{3/2}, 1, 0$	$2P_{1/2}, 1, 0$	$2S_{1/2}, 1, 0$	$2\mathrm{P}_{1/2},0,0$	$2S_{1/2}, 0, 0$
$2P_{3/2}, 2, 0$		$-\frac{g+2}{6}$ e ₀	$\sqrt{2(g-1)}$ -eo			
$2P_{3/2}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{g+2}{c}e_0$				$\sqrt{2}(g-1)$	
$2P_{1/2}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{\sqrt{2}(g-1)}{2}e_0$				$\frac{g-4}{6}e_0$	
$2S_{1/2}, 1, 0$						$-\frac{9}{2}e_0$
$2P_{1/2}, 0, 0$		$\frac{\sqrt{2}(g-1)}{2}e_0$	$\frac{q-4}{6}e_0$			
$2S_{1/2}, 0, 0$				$\frac{2}{5}$ en		

Table 7e.

Table 7g.									
	$2P_{3/2}, 2,$	$2P_{3/2}$,	$2P_{1/2}$	20	$2P_{3/2}, \underline{2}, \cdot$				
$2P_{3/2}, 2, -1$	$\frac{g+2}{4}$ eo	$\frac{g+2}{4\sqrt{3}}e_0$	$\frac{g-1}{\sqrt{6}}\bm{e}_0$						
$2P_{3/2}, 1,$		$5(g+2)$	\bar{z} eo						
$2P_{1/2}$, 1	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}e_0$	$\frac{j-1}{3\sqrt{2}}e_0$	e_0						
$2S_{1/2}$,				$\frac{9}{5}e_0$					
$2P_{3/2}$,					e				

Table 8. The mass matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_0(\delta_1, \delta_2)$ (24) for the n = 2 states of deuterium for the case of zero external fields. For the explanation of the variables Δ , L and A and their numerical values see the introduction of this appendix and Table 3. The PV parameters $\delta_{1,2}$ can also be found in Table 3, the decay rates $\Gamma_{P,S}$ are given in (25) and (26).

	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2P_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2S_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$
$2\mathrm{P}_{3/2},\frac{5}{2},\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{\Delta + \frac{\mathcal{A}}{120}}{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\Gamma_P}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\overline{0}$	$\frac{\Delta - \frac{A}{180}}{-\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_P}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{5} \mathcal{A}}{576}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{5}\mathcal{A}}{576}$	$\frac{\mathcal{A}}{72} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \Gamma_P$	$i\delta_1L$ $+ {\rm i} \delta_2 L$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$-i\delta_1L$ $-i\delta_2L$	$\frac{L+\frac{\mathcal{A}}{24}}{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\Gamma_S}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	θ	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\frac{\Delta - \frac{A}{72}}{-\frac{1}{2}I_P}$	$288\sqrt{2}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$2P_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$-\frac{\mathcal{A}}{288\sqrt{2}}$	$-\frac{A}{36}$ $-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_P$	$i\delta_1L$ $-2\mathrm{i}\delta_2L$
$2S_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$- i\delta_1 L$ $+2i\delta_2L$	$L-\frac{\mathcal{A}}{12}$ $-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_S$

Table 8b.

Table 8c.

	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$				$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \quad 2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \quad 2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \quad 2P_{3/2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \quad 2P_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \quad 2S_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$		
$2\mathrm{P}_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{\Delta + \frac{A}{120}}{-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_P}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$2\mathrm{P}_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\begin{array}{c}\n\Delta - \frac{A}{180} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_P\n\end{array}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{5} \mathcal{A}}{576}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	Ω	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{5} \mathcal{A}}{576}$	$\frac{A}{72} - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_P$	$i\delta_1L$ $+ {\rm i} \delta_2 L$	Ω		$\boldsymbol{0}$
$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-i\delta_1L$ $-i\delta_2L$	$L+\frac{\mathcal{A}}{24}$ $-\frac{1}{2}\overline{\Gamma_S}$	Ω		$\boldsymbol{0}$
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\frac{\Delta - \frac{A}{72}}{-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_P}$	$\frac{1}{288\sqrt{2}}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$2P_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$-\frac{\mathcal{A}}{288\sqrt{2}}$	$-\frac{A}{36}$ $-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_P$	$i\delta_1L$ $-2i\delta_2L$
$2S_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$- i\delta_1 L$ $+2i\delta_2L$	$L-\frac{A}{12}$ $-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_S$

		ravic ou.			
	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$		$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$ $2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}$
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{\Delta + \frac{A}{120}}{-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_P}$	Ω	θ	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
$2\mathrm{P}_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$	θ	$\begin{array}{c}\Delta-\frac{\mathcal{A}}{180}\\-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\varGamma_{P}\end{array}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{5} \mathcal{A}}{576}$	θ	θ
$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$	θ	$-\frac{\sqrt{5} \mathcal{A}}{576}$	$\frac{A}{72} - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_P$	$i\delta_1L$ $+i\delta_2L$	θ
$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$	θ	$\overline{0}$	$-i\delta_1L$ $-i\delta_2 L$	$L+\frac{\mathcal{A}}{24}$ $-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_S$	θ
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\Delta + \frac{\mathcal{A}}{120}$ $-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\varGamma_{P}$

Table 8d.

Table 9. The suitably normalised electric dipole operator $\underline{D}/(e r_B(1))$ for the $n = 2$ states of deuterium.

Table 9a.	
-----------	--

	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}$	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$	$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$	$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{9}{2}, \frac{9}{2}$					$-3\boldsymbol{e}_-$
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$					$3\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}e_0$
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$					$-2\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}e_0$
$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$					$-\sqrt{3}e_0$
$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$	$3e+$	$rac{2}{5}$ e ₀	$-2\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}e_0$	$-\sqrt{3}e_0$	

Table 9b.

	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2P_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2S_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$				$-3V$. $\frac{9}{5}e$			
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$				$\frac{8}{5}e_{-}$			$-\sqrt{5}e$
$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$				$-\sqrt{2}e_{-}$			$2e_{-}$
$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$		$\frac{6}{5}e_{-}$	$-\sqrt{2}e$		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_{-}$	$2\bm{e}_-$	

Table 9c.

			radio da:				
	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2P_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2S_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$		Ω		$\frac{3}{5}\bm{e}_0$ $3\sqrt{ }$			
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$				$\frac{2}{\sqrt{15}}e_0$			$\frac{10}{3}e_0$
$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$				$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}e_0$			$-2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}e_0$
$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$3\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}e_0$	$\frac{2}{\sqrt{15}}e_0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}e_0$		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}e_0$	$-2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}e_0$	
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$				$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}e_0$			$-2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}e_0$
$2P_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\overline{0}$			$-2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}e_0$			$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}e_0$
$2S_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$		$\frac{10}{3}$ e ₀	$-2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}e_0$		$-2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}e_0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}e_0$	0

Table 9d.

Table 9e.

Table 9f.

	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$	$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$	$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}$
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$				3 ₁ $\frac{2}{5}e_0$	
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$				$rac{3}{5}$ e ₀ 2 ₁	
$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$				$\sqrt{3}\bm{e}_0$	
$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$	3 ₁ $\frac{2}{5}e_0$	$rac{3}{5}$ e ₀	$\sqrt{3}\boldsymbol{e}_0$		$3e+$
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2},$				–3е	

Table 10. The suitably normalised magnetic dipole operator μ/μ for the n = 2 states of deuterium, where $\mu = e\hbar/(2m_e)$ is the Bohr magneton and $g = 2.002319304(76)$ is the Landé factor of the electron [1].

Table 10b.

Table 9i.

Table 9j.

	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$	$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$	$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{2(g+2)}{5}e_+$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}(g+2)}{10\sqrt{3}}e_+$	$-\frac{g-1}{\sqrt{30}}\boldsymbol{e}_{+}$	
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{g+2}{5}e_+$	$\frac{11\sqrt{2}(g+2)}{30\sqrt{3}}e_+$	$\frac{\sqrt{8}(g-1)}{3\sqrt{15}}e_+$	
$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{g-1}{\sqrt{5}}e_+$	$\frac{\sqrt{8}(g-1)}{3\sqrt{15}}e_+$	$-\frac{\sqrt{2}(g-4)}{6\sqrt{3}}e_+$	
$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$				$\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}e_+$
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$		$\frac{\sqrt{10}(g+2)}{6\sqrt{3}}e_+$	$-\frac{\sqrt{2}(g-1)}{6\sqrt{3}}e_+$	
$2P_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$		$\frac{\sqrt{5}(g-1)}{3\sqrt{3}}e_+$	$rac{g-4}{3\sqrt{3}}e_+$	
$2S_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$				$\frac{g}{\sqrt{3}}e_+$

Table 10c.

Table 10d.								
	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2P_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$2S_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{g+2}{10}$ e ₀	- $\frac{g+2}{5}$ e $_0$	$\frac{g-1}{\sqrt{5}}e_0$					
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{g+2}{5}e_0$	$-\frac{11(g+2)}{90}e_0$	$-\frac{2(g-1)}{9\sqrt{5}}e_0$		$\frac{\sqrt{5}(g+2)}{2}e_0$	$\frac{\sqrt{10}(g-1)}{g}e_0$		
$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{g-1}{\sqrt{5}}\bm{e}_0$	$-\frac{2(g-1)}{9\sqrt{5}}e_0$	$\frac{g-4}{18}$ e $_0$		$-\frac{g-1}{9}$ eo	$\frac{\sqrt{2}(g-4)}{2}e_0$		
$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	0			$-\frac{g}{6}$ e ₀			$\frac{\sqrt{2}g}{3}e_0$	
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$		$-\frac{\sqrt{5}(g+2)}{2}e_0$	$\frac{g-1}{9}$ e ₀		$-\frac{5(g+2)}{18}$ e ₀ $\frac{2\sqrt{2}(g-1)}{9}$ e ₀	$-\frac{2\sqrt{2}(g-1)}{2}e_0$		
$2P_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$		$\frac{\sqrt{10}(g-1)}{9}$ e ₀	$\frac{\sqrt{2}(g-4)}{2}e_0$			$-\frac{g-4}{18}$ e ₀		
$2S_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$				$\frac{\sqrt{2}g}{3}e_0$		Ω	$\frac{g}{6}$ e ₀	

Table 10e.

			Table 10g.				
	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$2P_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$2S_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{g+2}{10}$ e $_0$	$-\frac{g+2}{5}$ e ₀	$\frac{g-1}{\sqrt{5}}\bm{e}_0$				
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{g+2}{5}e_0$	$\frac{11(g+2)}{90}$ eo	$\frac{2(g-1)}{9\sqrt{5}}e_0$		$\frac{\sqrt{5}(g+2)}{9}e_0$	$\frac{\sqrt{10}(g-1)}{9}e_0$	
$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{g-1}{\sqrt{5}}\boldsymbol{e}_0$	$\frac{2(g-1)}{9\sqrt{5}}e_0$	$-\frac{g-4}{18}e_0$		$-\frac{g-1}{9}e_0$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}(g-4)}{9}e_0$	
$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$	θ			$\frac{g}{6}$ e ₀			$\frac{\sqrt{2}g}{2}e_0$
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$		$-\frac{\sqrt{5}(g+2)}{9}e_0$	$\frac{g-1}{9}e_0$		$\frac{\frac{5(g+2)}{18}e_0}{\frac{2\sqrt{2}(g-1)}{9}e_0}$	$\frac{2\sqrt{2}(g-1)}{9}e_0$	
$2P_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$		$\frac{\sqrt{10}(g-1)}{9}e_0$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}(g-4)}{2}e_0$			$\frac{g-4}{18}e_0$	
$2S_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$		0	Ω	$-\frac{\sqrt{2}g}{2}e_0$		0	$-\frac{g}{6}\boldsymbol{e}_0$

Table 10h.

	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$	$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$	$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{2(g+2)}{5}e$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}(g+2)}{10\sqrt{3}}e_-$	$-\frac{g-1}{\sqrt{30}}e_-$	
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{g+2}{5}e$	$\frac{11\sqrt{2}(g+2)}{2}e$ $30\sqrt{3}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{8}(g-1)}{3\sqrt{15}}e$.	
$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{g-1}{\sqrt{5}}\bm{e}_{-}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{8}(g-1)}{3\sqrt{15}}e_-\$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}(g-4)}{6\sqrt{3}}e_$	
$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$				$\frac{9}{\sqrt{6}}e$.
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$		$\frac{\sqrt{10}(g+2)}{6\sqrt{3}}e$.	$\frac{\sqrt{2}(g-1)}{6\sqrt{3}}e_-$	
$2P_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$		$\frac{\sqrt{5}(g-1)}{3\sqrt{3}}e_-\$	$rac{g-4}{3\sqrt{3}}e_$	
$2S_{1/2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$				$\frac{9}{\sqrt{3}}e$

Table 10i.

	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$	$2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$	$2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$	$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}$
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$ $2P_{3/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$ $2P_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$ $2S_{1/2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{3(g+2)}{10}$ e ₀ $\frac{\sqrt{2}(g+2)}{5\sqrt{3}}e_0$ $\frac{\sqrt{2}(g-1)}{\sqrt{15}}e_0$	$-\frac{\sqrt{2}(g+2)}{5\sqrt{3}}e_0$ $\frac{11(g+2)}{30}e_0$ $\frac{2(g-1)}{3\sqrt{5}}e_0$	$\frac{\sqrt{2(g-1)}}{\sqrt{15}}e_0$ $\frac{2(g-1)}{3\sqrt{5}}\boldsymbol{e}_0$ $-\frac{g-4}{6}$ e ₀	$\frac{g}{2}e_0$	$-\frac{g+2}{\sqrt{10}}e_-\,$ $-\frac{g+2}{\sqrt{15}}e_-$ $\frac{g-1}{\sqrt{3}}e_-$
$2P_{3/2}, \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{g+2}{\sqrt{10}}e_+$	$\frac{g+2}{\sqrt{15}}\bm{e}_+$	$-\frac{g-1}{\sqrt{3}}e_+$		$\frac{g+2}{2}$ e $_0$

Table 10j.